

SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics 57 (1) 67-76, 2025 http://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2025.57.1.7 http://sabraojournal.org/ pISSN 1029-7073; eISSN 2224-8978



GENETIC DIVERSITY OF *PANDANUS* SPP. BASED ON ISSR MARKERS IN SUMATRA, INDONESIA

M.A. HUTASUHUT¹, N. PASARIBU^{1*}, E.S. SIREGAR¹, and FITMAWATI²

¹Doctoral Program of Biology, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia ²Department of Biology, Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru, Indonesia *Corresponding author's email: nursahara@usu.ac.id Email addresses of co-authors: melfa_aisyah@uinsu.ac.id, etti1@usu.ac.id, fitmawati2008@yahoo.com

SUMMARY

Extensive community exploitation caused a decline in *Pandanus* spp. population, with its natural habitats being converted into monoculture plantation areas, posing a threat to the species. Currently, limited information exists on the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. from Sumatra, Indonesia. Therefore, the genetic diversity study is crucial for conservation and breeding purposes. The presented study aimed to assess the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. germplasm from Sumatra using ISSR markers. Seventeen *Pandanus* species, collected from seven provinces, had their data analyzed using 10 ISSR primers, resulting in 50 total bands. The molecular analysis divided the *Pandanus* species into two main groups and five subgroups. The highest genetic distance (0.93) was evident between the species *P. helicopus-1* from Riau Province and *P. helicopus-2* from Bangka Belitung. However, the lowest genetic distance (0.20) appeared between the *Pandanus* species *P. helicopus-2* and *P. stenophyllus*. Based on ISSR markers, *Pandanus* spp. from Sumatra can achieve clustering according to species diversity. The latest results provide valuable insights for planning the conservation strategies, optimal utilization, and future improvement of the *Pandanus* species.

Keywords: Pandanaceae, *Pandanus* species, genetic diversity, ISSR markers, molecular analysis, genetic distance, Sumatra

Key findings: Studying the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. collected from various regions of Sumatra, Indonesia succeeded. Molecular analysis divided the species into two groups and five subgroups. Using ISSR markers, classification of *Pandanus* spp. from Sumatra can depend on species diversity. These findings can help in planning the conservation strategies, optimal utilization of the species, and future crop improvement programs.

Communicating Editor: Dr. Irma Jamaluddin

Manuscript received: June 26, 2024; Accepted: September 11, 2024. © Society for the Advancement of Breeding Research in Asia and Oceania (SABRAO) 2025

Citation: Hutasuhut MA, Pasaribu N, Siregar ES, Fitmawati (2025). Genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. based on ISSR markers in Sumatra, Indonesia. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 57(1): 67-76. http://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2025.57.1.7.

INTRODUCTION

Pandanaceae is a family of flowering plants native to the tropics and subtropics of the Old World, from West Africa to the Pacific (Christenhusz and Byng, 2016). It contains five genera and 982 species, with the genus *Pandanus* as the most important, having species like *P. amaryllifolius* and *P. julianettii* as crucial sources of food. The family likely originated during the Late Cretaceous.

The Pandanaceae family is a widely distributed group across Indonesian islands, including Sumatra, Java, Bali, Kalimantan, Sulawesi, Maluku, and Papua (Keim, 2017). Pandanaceae genera, comprising Pandanus, Benstonea, Freycinetia, Martellidendron, and Sararanga, have a lowland to highland distribution (Callmander et al., 2012). Sumatra has the highest genetic diversity of Pandanus spp. after Java Island, though, it is not welldocumented (Keim, 2011). The genus Pandanus has trees, shrubs, and non-climbing plants, with thick and bony endocarp (Stone, 1976). Pandanus plants have supporting roots from the stem and leaf axis, which are aerial roots. The leaves are single, arranged in a three-plane spiral, hard, and finely spiny on the edges. Mostly, pollination occurs by insects and wind, which increased the fruit's and seed viability (Keim, 2011).

Pandanus spp. has various uses, culinary seasoning, including medicinal ingredients, and religious rituals (Tanzerina et al., 2022). Species like P. tectorius, P. odoratissimus, P. dubius, and P. furcatus, have long, tracheid fibers in their leaves, making them flexible, durable, and suitable for handicrafts, such as, hats, bags, and mats Munawaroh, (Purwanto and 2010). P. amaryllifolius contains pandanin compounds with antiviral properties against herpes and influenza viruses (Ooi et al., 2004). Some Pandanus species even serve as ornamental plants due to their unique shapes, such as, P. dubius and P. utilis (Wardah and Setyowati, 2009).

The existence of *Pandanus* spp. receives threats from massive exploitation of the community and habitat's conversion into monoculture plantation, leading to potential

endangerment. Furthermore, deforestation and land use changes reduced the population sizes. hindering allele exchange, and eventually, decreasing the genetic diversity. Information on genetic diversity is vital in the conservation for future and plant breeding activities (Salgotra and Chauhan, 2023). Therefore, the maximum genetic diversity is crucial for shortterm ecological adaptation and long-term evolution in conservation strategies and for selection through breeding for further improvement (Rahayu and Handayani, 2010). Genetic diversity patterns are essential for designing conservation, management, and sustainable strategies for using plant species (Chung et al., 2013; Ho and Tu, 2019; Liu et al., 2019). Conservation approaches depend on geographical regions, habitat ecology, identified species, and threatened taxa (Pratami et al., 2020; Yun et al., 2020).

A molecular approach can better explore the genetic diversity using DNA analysis (Yang et al., 2016; Guliyev et al., 2018; Teixeira and Huber, 2021). The intersimple sequence repeats (ISSR) markers are highly polymorphic and efficient for studying genetic diversity at the intraspecies level (Lu et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2015; Alhasnawi et al., 2019). ISSR markers have been functional to discriminate the Benstonea thwaitesii, Pandanaceae (Borse et al., 2018) and assess genetic diversity among 13 Pandanus species and six Freycinetia species (Rahayu et al., 2007). The ISSR markers have determined genetic similarity between 10 Pandanus species from West Java (Rahayu and Handayani, 2010). However, at present, no documentation existed on the allele diversity of *Pandanus* spp. in Sumatra, Indonesia.

Understanding the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. is crucial for both conservation and breeding purposes, as it helps to determine the relationship among the species. The loss of genetic variation means a population cannot evolve in response to environmental changes, increasing the risk of extinction. Studying the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. will aid in resolving the identity of species collected from various locations in Sumatra. The presented study aimed to develop a classification system for genetic

variations in *Pandanus* spp., using inter-simple sequence repeat (ISSR) markers to provide comprehensive information on the genetic diversity of *Pandanus* spp. in Sumatra, Indonesia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Breeding material

The collected 14 *Pandanus* spp. came from different locations of Sumatra, Indonesia. Sampling procedure used the explore method. The explored areas for the samples' collection were seven provinces, including Aceh, North Sumatra, Riau, Bengkulu, South Sumatra, and Bangka Belitung (Figure 1, Table 1). The selection of research locations relied on the distribution center of *Pandanus* spp. in Sumatra.

Molecular analysis

The DNA extraction of *Pandanus* spp. leaves employed the Plant Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Geneaid®, Taiwan). The DNA amplification used 10 ISSR primers (Table 2). Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) proceeded in 12 µl volume, which consisted of 1 µl DNA (0.5-2.0 ng), 1 µl primer ISSR, 5.5 µl GreenTag MasterMix, and 4.5 µl free nuclease water. The PCR program consisted of initial denaturation at 94 °C for two minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 93 °C for 30 seconds, annealing at 48 °C-54 °C for 60 seconds, extension at 72 °C for 30 seconds, and a final extension at 72 °C for five minutes. The amplified PCR products' separation utilized electrophoresis on 1% agarose gel in TBE IX buffer and stained with ViSafe Green Gel Stain $(10,000 \times \text{ in water})$. Recording the results continued under the blue light Accuris Smart



Figure 1. Map of the locations for collecting the *Pandanus* spp. in Sumatra, Indonesia.

No.	Species	Location	Sample Code
1	P. yvanii	Aceh	MA2
2	P. borneensis	Aceh	MA3
3	P. labyrinthicus	North Sumatra	MS3
4	P. albifrons	North Sumatra	MS4
5	P. discostigma	North Sumatra	MS5
6	P. sumatranus	North Sumatra	MS6
7	P. forbesii	North Sumatra	MS7
8	P. leram	North Sumatra	MS8
9	P. helicopus1	Riau	MR2
10	P. helicopus2	Bangka Belitung	MB1
11	P. tectorius1	West Sumatra	MP2
12	P. tectorius2	South Sumatra	MG2
13	P. tectorius3	Bengkulu	MU3
14	P. stenophyllus	Bengkulu	MU1
15	P. furcatus	South Sumatra	MG1
16	P. dubius	Bangka Belitung	MB2
17	P. rostratus	Bangka Belitung	MB3

Table 1. Pandanus species collections used for ISSR analysis.

Table 2. The primers used in this study.

No.	Primer	Sequences	Annealing temperature (°C)	
1	ISSR-3	(AG) ₈ T	48	
2	ISSR-4	(AG) ₈ AA	48	
3	ISSR-5	(AG) ₈ TT	48	
4	UBC-808	(AG) ₈ C	52	
5	UBC-841	(GA) ₈ YC	52	
6	UBC-855	(AC) ₈ YT	50	
7	UBC-827	(CA) ₈ RC	50	
8	UBC-891	HVH(TG)7	54	
9	UBC-886	VDV(CT) ₇	54	
10	UBC-811	(GA) ₈ C	54	

Y= Pyrimidine (C,T); R= Purine (A,G); V= A, G, C; H= A, T, C.

Blue Transilluminator, with documentation using Smart Doc Enclosure with the Smartphone.

Data analysis

Scoring the banding pattern obtained for each ISSR primer used the Gel Pro Analyzer program 3.1 version. The score of polymorphic bands was zero (0) if no band appeared, and one (1) if a band occurred in the same position. The binary data served to calculate the genetic similarity matrix using the SIMQUAL (Similarity for Quality Data) procedure. Based on the genetic similarity index, cluster analysis construction utilized the SAHN (Sequential Agglomerative Hierarchical and Nested Clustering) procedure. The similarity coefficient with the SM (Simple Matching) method and clustering with Unweighted Pair Group Method Arithmetic Average (UPGMA) method proceeded using the NTSYS PC version 2.01 (Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate System) (Rohlf, 2000).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ISSR polymorphism

Based on the primers' capability, selected primers totaled 10 primers, which produced clear and polymorphic banding patterns and explored the genetic diversity of 17 *Pandanus*

No	Primer	Fragment size	Band	Polymorphic	Percentage polymorphic band
NO.	Filler	(bp)	total	band total	(%)
1	ISSR-3	100-1500	8	7	87.5
2	ISSR-4	300-1500	5	5	100
3	ISSR-5	250-2000	7	6	85.7
4	UBC-808	250-1500	5	5	100
5	UBC-841	250-1000	5	5	100
6	UBC-855	250-1500	6	5	83.3
7	UBC-827	100-600	4	4	100
8	UBC-891	250-750	3	3	100
9	UBC-886	250-750	4	4	100
10	UBC-811	250-1000	3	3	100
Total			50	47	
Average				47	95.65

Table 3.	ISSR	nrimer s	equences	and a	amplified	hand	nrofiles
Table J.	1221	primer s	equences	anu c	ampimeu	Danu	promes.

species. The patterns, number, and sizes of bands varied based on the species and primers. A total of 50 bands reached amplification, 47 of which were polymorphic, and the polymorphism for each primer ranged from 83.3% to 100%, averaging 95.65%. This authenticated a considerable genetic diversity among the *Pandanus* spp. from Sumatra. Each primer amplified between three and eight bands, with lengths ranging from 100 to 2000 base pairs (bp) (Table 3).

The primer ISSR-5 produced the largest band size at 2000 bp, while the primers ISSR-3 and UBC-827 produced the smallest bands at 100 bp. Consistent band sizes of 250 and 500 bp appeared across all the primers, indicating as common genetic markers in Pandanus spp. The primers UBC-891 and UBC-811 produced the fewest bands (three bands each), whereas the primer ISSR-3 formed the most bands (eight bands) (Table 3). Genetic polymorphism signified a closer link to how plants adapt to variations in climate, temperature, and water availability (Henderson and Salt, 2017). Plants undergo allelic mutations in response to environmental adaptation, leading to variations in genetic material (Chung et al., 2023). Additionally, genetic diversity incurred influences from the genetic background of ancestors, plant migration, and human domestication practices (Li et al., 2018; Napier et al., 2023).

Phenetic analysis

In Sumatra regions, the Pandanus species found were P. yvanii, P. borneensis, P. leram, P. albifrons, P. labyrinthicus, P. discostigma, P. sumatranus, P. forbesii, P. helicopus, P. tectorius, P. stenophyllus, P. furcatus, P. rostratus, and P. dubius. Backer and Bakhuizen (1968) reported 15 species of Pandanus exist in Java, namely, P. andamanensium (W. Southern Coast of Nusakambangan), Ρ. labyrinthicus (W. Coast of Sumatra; might also occur in Java), P. faviger (Lamongan and Bali), Ρ. pyqmaeus, Ρ. amarvllifolius, Ρ. vandermeeschii, P. utilis, P. boninensis, P. kurzii Merr., P. tectorius Soland ex Park, P. polycephalus Lamk, P. furcatus Roxb., P. bidur Jungh ex Mig, P. nitidus Kurz, and P. hasskarlii Merr.

The grouping based on the 50 bands of primary amplification of ISSR using the UPGMA method and simple matching coefficient merged 17 species into two main groups, with a similarity coefficient of 53%–93% (Figure 2). Group I consisted of two subgroups (A and B). Group II has four subgroups (C, D, E, and F). Group A consisted of the same species, but different accessions, *P. helicopus-1* and *P. helicopus-2*. For group B, it comprised three accessions of the species *P. tectorius* and *P. albifrons*. Based on their morphological characteristics, these two species revealed



Figure 2. Dendrogram showing the similarity coefficient among 17 *Pandanus* spp. constructed using the *Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean* (UPGMA) method based on 50 ISSR bands.

similar, and both have a single cephalia and a few fruits on each cephalia (38-82). Group C, comprising four species, included P. rostratus, P. dubius, P. labyrinthicus, and P. forbesii. Concerning morphological characteristics, these species were also similar, with a single cephalia and moderate fruits on each cephalia (105-387). Group D only had one species, P. sumatranus. Group E consisted of five speices, viz., P. furcatus, P. borneensis, P. yvanii, P. stenophyllus, and P. leram. Similar with group D, group F has only one species, P. discostigma, and became separated from other species. Based on its morphology, P. discostigma has similarities with Benstonea ornata for morphological traits. These include no supporting roots, stems without spines, absence of inverted spines at the base of the leaves, old leaves remain attached, single cephalia, and brown stigma.

Based on molecular characters, the species grouping patterns often do not align

with morphological features in the genotypes. The ISSR primer is a short DNA sequence, with a length of 15–30 base pairs, scattered randomly throughout the genome. Primers of 20 base pairs can complement DNA sequences, as much as, 9×10^{-13} . With 3×10^9 base pairs per haploid genome, the use of primers in taxonomic analysis does not fully represent the actual state of the genome (Cha and Thilly, 1993). According to Zietkiewicz *et al.* (1994), the ISSR markers are only a small part of the genome, necessitating the use of more primers for accurate representation.

The collection of the *Pandanus* species, *P. tectorius*, came from three different locations. Despite being the same species, these landraces of the same species were genetically distinct and showed separate branches in the dendrogram. Environmental variations cause plants to adapt to their habitats, leading to physiological and genetic variations, affecting the plant population diversity (Juliantari *et al.*, 2021). Genetic polymorphism signified as closely related to plant adaptation to variations in climate, temperature, and water availability (Henderson and Salt, 2017). Plants adapt to their existing environment through allelic mutations, leading to sustainable variations in the genetic material (Ni *et al.*, 2018).

Table 4. Genetic distance among the 17 Pandanus spp. collected from Sumatra, Indonesia.

Species	MR2 MB1 MP1 MG2 MU3 MS4 MG1 MB3 MB2 MU1 MS5 MS6 MS8 MA7 MA3 MA2 MS7
MR2	1.00
MB1	0.93 1.00
MP1	0.69 0.67 1.00
MG2	0.51 0.48 0.81 1.00
MU3	0.62 0.60 0.88 0.83 1.00
MS4	0.60 0.58 0.81 0.81 0.83 1.00
MG1	0.48 0.46 0.65 0.74 0.67 0.69 1.00
MB3	0.65 0.58 0.48 0.39 0.51 0.53 0.55 1.00
MB2	0.55 0.48 0.62 0.53 0.65 0.67 0.65 0.72 1.00
MU1	0.27 <i>0.20</i> 0.39 0.53 0.46 0.48 0.69 0.48 0.58 1.00
MS5	0.48 0.41 0.60 0.51 0.62 0.60 0.67 0.69 0.79 0.69 1.00
MS6	0.39 0.41 0.65 0.46 0.58 0.51 0.53 0.46 0.51 0.69 0.62 1.00
MS8	0.48 0.41 0.60 0.65 0.53 0.46 0.62 0.51 0.60 0.60 0.72 0.48 1.00
MA7	0.34 0.32 0.41 0.46 0.44 0.46 0.72 0.46 0.55 0.83 0.58 0.67 0.58 1.00
MA3	0.46 0.44 0.58 0.58 0.55 0.53 0.79 0.67 0.67 0.58 0.65 0.51 0.69 0.74 1.00
MA2	$0.48 \ 0.46 \ 0.55 \ 0.60 \ 0.58 \ 0.55 \ 0.81 \ 0.55 \ 0.65 \ 0.69 \ 0.67 \ 0.53 \ 0.67 \ 0.81 \ 0.83 \ 1.00$
MS7	$0.53 \ 0.51 \ 0.65 \ 0.55 \ 0.62 \ 0.69 \ 0.67 \ 0.65 \ 0.79 \ 0.46 \ 0.76 \ 0.44 \ 0.62 \ 0.58 \ 0.74 \ 0.72 \ 1.00$

The value in bold is the highest value and the value in bold italics is the lowest value. MR2: *P. helicopus1*, MB1: *P. helicopus2*, MP1: *P. tectorius1*, MG2: *P. tectorius2*, MU3: *P. tectorius3*, MS4: *P. albifrons*, MG1: *P. furcatus*, MB3: *P. rostratus*, MB2: *P. dubius*, MU1: *P. stenophyllus*, MS3: *P. labyrinthicus*, MS5: *P. discostigma*, MS6: *P. sumatranus*, MS8: *P. leram* MA3: *P. borneensis*, MA2: *P. yvanii*, and MS7: *P. forbesii*.

Furthermore, genetic diversity acquires influences from genetic ancestry, plant migration, and human domestication (Li et al., 2018). This study demonstrated ISSR markers were effective in genetic fingerprinting, gene tagging, identification, and grouping of the different accessions. Amom and Nongdam (2017) confirmed ISSR markers can identify plant species and varieties and study genetic diversity. However, ISSR markers can group Pandanus spp. based on morphological characteristics, which has not been fully proven for all branches in the dendrogram. The results further revealed the highest genetic distance (0.93) appeared between the species P. helicopus-1, collected from Riau Province, and P. helicopus-2, procured from Bangka Belitung. Meanwhile, the lowest genetic distance (0.20) emerged between the species, P. helicopus-2 and P. Stenophyllus (Table 4). The maximum similarity values among the landraces from different origins were due to their relationship

with the same species (*P. helicopus*) and the same morphological characters. The species *P. helicopus* was prevalent in swampy areas, while *P. stenophyllus* was evident in mountain forests, exhibiting distinct morphological traits, such as, branching patterns, spine color, inflorescence stalks, and fruit counts.

The *Pandanus* from Java enunciated significant genetic variations, which might be due to the highest genetic distance values (0.29–0.89) (Rahayu *et al.*, 2007). Several evolutionary factors, including mating systems, gene flow, seed dispersal, geographic range, and natural selection, also collectively influence genetic diversity. Of these factors, mating systems and geographic range most notably affect the genetic variations in a species (Sork, 2015). High similarity indices among the species from different locations might refer to similar habitats and environmental conditions, which reduce the need for adaptation and genetic changes (Ni *et al.*, 2018; Gunawan *et*

al., 2019). According to Ratnam (2009), natural selection and sexual mating within the same habitat lead to similar genes and phenotypes in the population. The similarity index can identify genetically similar Pandanus species. According to Kuwi et al. (2018), greater genetic distances among the correspond accessions to lower genetic characteristic similarity. For the future development of Pandanus, conservation is necessary considering the utilization of Pandanus has increased, while its habitat conditions remained threatened by population growth and modernization activities. The prohibition of exploiting Pandanus and habitat should destruction persist until the establishment of effective in-situ and ex-situ conservation strategies. This will prevent further reduction of population size and conserve the overall genetic base and structure of the Pandanus species. Sensitization of locals about the prevailing genetic scenario of populations alarming Pandanus and involvement of local communities in framing protection policies will be a highly effective approach to Pandanus conservation.

CONCLUSIONS

The genetic diversity analysis of 17 Pandanus species collected from seven provinces in Sumatra used 10 ISSR primers, resulting in 50 polymorphic bands. Molecular analysis categorized the species into two main groups and five subgroups. The highest genetic distance (0.93) was evident between the species P. helicopus-1 from Riau and P. helicopus-2 from Bangka Belitung. Meanwhile, the lowest (0.20) occurred between P. *helicopus-2* and *P. stenophyllus*. These findings demonstrated substantial allele diversitv among the Pandanus spp. in Sumatra, which can help in planning for conservation strategies, optimal species utilization, and future crop improvement programs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their highest gratitude to the sampling team for their aid during the exploration and collection of *Pandanus* spp. in some locations of Sumatra.

REFERENCES

- Alhasnawi AN, Mandal AM, Jasim HM (2019). Using DNA finger printing to detect the genetic relationships in *Acacia* by inter-simple sequence repeat markers. *J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.* 13(1): 281-288.
- Amom T, Nongdam P (2017). The use of molecular marker methods in plants: A review. *Int. J. Curr. Res. Rev.* 9(17): 1-7.
- Backer CA, Bakhuizen RCB (1968). Flora of Java (Spermatophytes only) Vol. 3 Groningen: Nv.P. Noordhoff.
- Borse V, Zanan R, Nadaf A (2018). Development of ISSR derived SCAR marker for economically important *Benstonea thwaitesii* (Martelli) Calm. And Buerki. *Indian J. Biotechnol.* 17: 480-483.
- Callmander MW, Lowry II PP, Forest F, Devey DS, Beentje H, Buerki S (2012). Benstonea Callm. & Buerki (Pandanaceae): Characterization, circumscription, and distribution of a new marga of screw-pines, with a synopsis of accepted species. Candollea 67(2): 323-345.
- Cha RS, Thilly WG (1993). Specificity, efficiency, and fidelity of PCR. *PCR Methods Appl.* 3(3): S18-29.
- Chung MY, Lo´pez-Pujol J, Maki M, Moon MO, Hyun JO, Chung MG (2013). Genetic variation and structure within 3 endangered *Calanthe* species (Orchidaceae) from Korea: Inference of population-establishment history and implications for conservation. *J. Hered.* 104: 248-262.
- Chung MY, Merilä J, Li J, Mao K, López-Pujol J, Tsumura Y, Chung MG (2023). Neutral and adaptive genetic diversity in plants: An overview. *Front. Ecol. Evol.* 11: 1116814.
- Christenhusz MJM, Byng JW (2016). The number of known plants species in the world and its annual increase. *Phytotaxa* 261(3): 201-217. doi:10.11646/phytotaxa.261.3.1.

- Guliyev N, Sharifova S, Ojaghi J, Abbasov M, Akparov Z (2018). Genetic diversity among melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) accessions revealed by morphological traits and ISSR markers. *Turkish J. Agric. For.* 42(6): 393-401.
- Gunawan, Chikmawati T, Sobir, Sulistijorini (2019). Genetic diversity and population structure analyses in *Baccaurea angulata* accessions using ISSR primers. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 51(4): 390-404.
- Henderson IR, Salt DE (2017). Natural genetic variation and hybridization in plants. *J Exp. Bot.* 68(20): 5415-5417.
- Ho VT, Tu NT (2019). Genetic characterization of mango accessions through RAPD and ISSR markers in Vietnam. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 51(3): 252-265.
- Juliantari E, Djuita NR, Fitmawati, Chikmawati T (2021). Genetic diversity of kweni fruit (*Mangifera odorata* Griffith) from Sumatra, Indonesia, based on morphological and ISSR analyses. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet*. 53(3): 527-542.
- Keim AP (2011). New variety, records and discoveries of some species of *Pandanus* (*Pandanaceae*) in Sumatra & Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Reinwardtia* 13(3): 255-262.
- Keim AP (2017). Flora pandan, semende region, muara enim, South Sumatra. *Indones. J. Biotechnol. Biodivers.* 1(2): 38-47.
- Kuwi SO, Kyalo M, Mutai CK, Mwilawa, Hanson J, Djikeng A, Ghimire SR (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of Urochloa grass accessions from Tanzania using simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers. Braz. J. Bot. 41(3): 699-709.
- Li ZZ, Gichira AW, Wang QF, Chen JM (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of the endangered basal angiosperm *Brasenia schreberi* (Cabombaceae) in China. *Peer J*. 6(e5296): 1-18.
- Liu F, Hong Z, Xu D, Jia H, Zhang N, Liu X (2019). Genetic diversity of the endangered *Dalbergia odorifera* revealed by SSR markers. *Forests*. 10(225): 1-17.
- Lu J, Hu X, Liu JJ, Wang HZ (2011). Genetic diversity and population structure of 151 *Cymbidium sinense* cultivars. *J. Hortic. Forest.* 3: 104-114.
- Napier JD, Heckman RW, Juenger TE (2023). Geneby-environment interactions in plants: Molecular mechanisms, environmental drivers, and adaptive plasticity. *Plant Cell* 35(1): 109-124.

- Ni JL, Zhu AG, Wang XF, Xu Y, Sun ZM, Chen JH, Luan MB (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of ramie (*Boehmeria nivea* L.). *Ind. Crop Prod.* 115: 340-347.
- Ooi LS, Sun SS, Ooi VE (2004). Purification and characterization of a new antiviral protein from the leaves of *Pandanus amaryllifolius* (Pandanaceae). *Int. J. Biochem. Cell. Biol.* 36(8): 1440-6.
- Pratami MP, Chikmawati T, Rugaya (2020). Genetic diversity of *Cucumis* and *Mukia* (*Curcubitaceae*) based on ISSR Markers. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 52(2): 127-143.
- Purwanto Y, Munawaroh E (2010). Ethnobotany of *Pandanaceae* as food ingredients in Indonesia. *Berk. Penel. Hayati* 5: 97-108
- Rahayu SE, Handayani S (2010). Genetic diversity of *Pandanus* from West Java based on inter simple sequence repeat markers. *Makara J. Sci.* 14(2): 158-162.
- Rahayu SE, Hartana A, Chikmawati T, Kartawinata K (2007). Genetic diversity of *Pandanus* and *Freycinetia* from Java based on ISSR marker. *Floribunda* 3(4): 95-103.
- Ratnam SV (2009). Plant Biosystematics. New Delhi: Publications Pvt Ltd.
- Rohlf FJ (2000). Numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system, version 2.1. Applied Biostatistics, New York.
- Salgotra RK, Chauhan BS (2023). Genetic diversity, conservation, and utilization of plant genetic resources. *Genes* 14(1): 174.
- Sork VL (2015). Gene flow and natural selection shape spatial patterns of genes in tree populations: Implications for evolutionary processes and applications. *Evol. Appl.* 9(1): 291-310.
- Stone BC (1976). The Pandanaceae of the new hebrides with an essay on intraspecific variation in *Pandanus tectorius. Kew Bull.* 31: 50.
- Tanzerina N, Harmida, Apriliani DP (2022). Ethnobotanical study of Pandanaceae by The Besemah Tribe, Lahat District, South Sumatera Province. *Biovalentia* 8(2): 130-137.
- Teixeira JC, Huber CD (2021). The inflated significance of neutral genetic diversity in conservation genetics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 118(10): 1-10.
- Wardah, Setyowati (2009). Ethnobotanical study on the *Pandanus* L. f. in certain areas in Java, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 10(3): 146-150.

- Yang JC, Li QQ, Yu N, Yin GT, Wu ZF, Li RS, Zou WT (2016). Genetic diversity and structure among natural populations of *Sindora glabra* in Hainan island, China as revealed by ISSR markers. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 69: 145-151.
- Yun SA, Son HD, Im HT, Kim SC (2020). Genetic diversity and population structure of the endangered orchid *Pelatantheria scolopendrifolia* (Orchidaceae) in Korea. *PLoS ONE* 15(8): 1-21.
- Zhao H, Wang Y, Yang D, Zhao X, Li N, Zhou Y (2015). An analysis of genetic diversity in *Marphysa sanguinea* from different geographic populations using ISSR polymorphisms. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 64: 65-69.
- Zietkiewicz E, Rafalski A, Labuda D (1994). Genome fingerprinting by simple sequence repeat (SSR)-anchored polymerase chain reaction amplification. *Genomics* 20(12): 176-183.