

SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics 55 (5) 1526-1535, 2023 http://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2023.55.5.7 http://sabraojournal.org/ pISSN 1029-7073; eISSN 2224-8978



# GENETIC AND PATH COEFFICIENT ANALYSES OF QUALITY-RELATED TRAITS OF OAT (AVENA SATIVA L.) WITH POTASSIUM APPLICATION

# S.A. HASAN<sup>1\*</sup>, R.M. ABDULLAH<sup>2</sup>, M.B. HANOON<sup>1</sup>, and M.K. SAHI<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Southern Technical University, Shatrah Technical Institute, Iraq <sup>2</sup>College of Health and Medical Techniques, Kirkuk, Northern Technical University, Iraq Corresponding author's emails: drsaif.ahmed@stu.edu.iq, saifahmed081@gmail.com Email addresses of co-authors: raed.m.abdullah@ntu.edu.iq, mohammed.hanoon@stu.edu.iq, muntasir.sahi@stu.edu.iq

#### SUMMARY

The experiment on oats transpired during the crop season 2021–2022 according to the split-plot design system of the full randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates. The potassium element was in the chief ingredients in three concentrations (0, 10, and 20 g L<sup>-1</sup>). The genetic structures, secondary pieces, and results showed that the genetic appearance and environmental conditions under the three concentrations were positive and significant in all qualities. The natural and ecological manifestation analyses in laboratories showed complete, high, medium, and indirect effects in all features under study, with a varying percentage between spraying concentrations. With potassium, the qualities indicated a positive and valuable association with the raw protein of the grain summary of the plant at all levels and had notable indirect effects through some other characteristics.

Keywords: Oat (Avena sativa L.), genetic features, correlation, path analysis

**Key findings**: In the phenotypic and genetic correlations, the percentage (%) of ash had a desirable, positive, and significant association at the level of probability of 1% with the characteristics of crude fiber (%), soluble carbohydrates, and moisture percentage of all concentrations under study, yet was excessive in phenotypic associations (0.6403, 0.4874, 0.6171, 0.7781, 0.8885, 0.6613, 0.7362, 0.6193, and 0.7439); but the genetic associations, it reached 0.7399, 0.545, 0.6226, 0.8432, 1.0789, 0.6418, 0.7877, 0.9797, and 0.8561. However, the environmental correlation coefficients did not have a significant effect.

Communicating Editor: Prof. Naqib Ullah Khan

Manuscript received: March 9, 2023; Accepted: August 31, 2023. © Society for the Advancement of Breeding Research in Asia and Oceania (SABRAO) 2023

**Citation:** Hasan SA, Abdullah RM, Hanoon MB, Sahi MK (2023). Genetic and path coefficient analyses of quality-related traits of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) with potassium application. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 55(5): 1526-1535. http://doi.org/10.54910/sabrao2023.55.5.7.

# INTRODUCTION

The oat (Avena sativa L.) belongs to the family Poaceae and is considerably important as an annual and herbaceous plant (Ahmad et al., 2014). Many studies indicate that it was widely cultivated in different parts of the world in the past (Atar et al., 2018). The cultivated area worldwide (2018) amounted to about 9.50 million ha, producing 23.50 million t. Germany ranks first based on yield per hectare, followed by Denmark and France (USDA, 2018). Meanwhile, in Iraq, the 2018 cultivated area was about 1066.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, with a production of one ton (Central Organization for Statistics, Information Technology and Agricultural Reports, 2018).

The estimated associations between traits and the outcome and its components in breeding programs are vital because correlation coefficients are critical indicators that give an idea of the extent of traits' response to selection. The associations between traits emerge through the correlation of genetic factors and the influence of environmental factors, since dormant genes in some genotypes sometimes make them nonexpressive in a particular condition but expressive in others. The main reason for the correlation is the multiple effects of the gene affecting two or more characteristics; therefore, the isolation of this gene causes changes in the traits (Al-Dulaimi, 2020). Hence, plant breeders resort to elections for qualities from among the components of the yield since the grain yield trait is a complex one affected by numerous genes and environmental factors, not quickly responding to preference; however, the selection may not be efficient due to the association between the components themselves (Al-Jubouri et al., 2009).

Following the path coefficient analysis method is one of the paramount methods applied by plant breeders to analyze and understand the genetic and phenotypic correlations between the various traits for adoption in selection programs (Helsel and Skrdla, 1983; Al-Yasari, 2022). Therefore, determining the prime components affecting the protein of the grain yield for improving traits is the result of a segmentation coefficient. The correlation between the vield and its constituents to direct and indirect effects (Al-Zubaidi and Al-Jubouri, 2016) had the scientist Wright (1921) as the first to use the path coefficient theory by studying the genetic behavior of many quantitative traits and using it to fragment each genetic or environmental correlation. Dividing the yield and one of its components into direct and indirect outcomes enabled determining the component significantly impacting the yield; thus, selecting that component in the desired direction serves as a guide for preferences to improve the final yield. Still, the genetics that control these processes are in continuous research, being considered a complex trait affected by numerous genes. However, it remained the main goal in any breeding program for plants of the Poaceae family (Hassan, 2005). The presented study sought to determine the relationship between the valuable traits related to yield according to path coefficients and the relative relationship of the grain yield correlations.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study during the crop season (2021–2022) had the field soil experiment intended for agriculture, with the heat inheritances being tilted two times perpendicular, with the settlement operations conducted. In the softening operations on the soil, adding the dab fee  $(P_2O_5 46)$  and N (18%) had the amount of 320 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (96 g) for each experimental unit. The addition of urea N (46%) ensued at the beginning of the branches at 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The manual listing of seeds of oatmeal varieties' names and sources appears in Table 1. The thin and wide bush removal proceeded manually, watering the experiment according to the plants' requirements (Maulani et al., 2023).

Each experimental unit has a fixed number of seeds planted in it based on the weight of 1000 grains of genotypes, sowing 105 grains in each line. The study included two factors: the foliar spraying of potassium on the plant at the plant growth stage (elongation)

Symbol	Genotype	Extraction	Source
1	Alguda	-	Obtained from the Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture,
2	Anatolia	-	University of Baghdad
3	Pimula	Italy	
4	Genzania	-	
5	Hamel	Italy	
6	Icarda short	ICARDA	Obtained from the joint conservation agriculture program between the
7	Kangaroo	Australia	Ministry of Agriculture, the University of Mosul, and the International
8	Icarda tall	ICARDA	Center for Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA)
9	Mitika	Australia	
10	Possum	Australia	
11	UC-132	America	Obtained from the Agricultural Research Department – Sulaymaniyah
12	Monte Zuma	America	
13	Cayuse	America	

**Table 1.** The proportions of genotypes of oats.

with potassium sulfate  $K_2O$  (52%) in three concentrations (0, 10, and 20) gm L<sup>-1</sup>, symbolized by  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$ , respectively. The second factor included 13 genetic compositions of oats.

#### **Genetic analysis**

# *Phenotypic, genetic and environmental correlations*

After the analysis of covariance between the traits and the analysis of each of the features under study, the correction coefficients were also estimated (Al-Zubaidi and Khaled, 2016; Maulani *et al.*, 2023).

$$rG = \frac{\sigma_{Gx.Gy}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{Gx.}^{2} \sigma_{Gy}^{2}}}$$
$$rE = \frac{\sigma_{Ex.Ey}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{Ex.}^{2} \sigma_{Ey.}^{2}}}$$
$$rP = \frac{\sigma_{Px.Py}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{Px}^{2} \sigma_{Py}^{2}}}$$

#### Path coefficient analysis

Using the course of the path of the path of its foundation (WRIGHT, 1921) divided the corridor (R) between two variables for direct effects and indirect effects, according to Dewey and Narrator (1987) (Table 2). The model, which included four independent variables, tested the raw protein (%) with other studied traits and the approved variable, which is the result of the grains as shown in Figure 1, and according to the path coefficient using the correlation matrices as follows:



**Figure 1.** Tested the raw protein (%) with other studied traits. (correlation matrices).

$$[P] = [R]^{-1}[r]$$

Value	Range
1 - and more	Complete
0.8 – 0.99	very high
0.6 - 0.79	High
0.4 - 0.59	Medium
0.2 - 0.39	Weak
0.01 - 0.19	Very weak
0	No linear relationship

**Table 2.** Values of direct and indirect effects.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Phenotypic, genetic, and environmental correlations

Estimating the correlations between traits in breeding programs is essential because the correlation coefficients are critical indicators of the extent to which traits respond to selection. The correlations between traits happen through the association of genetic factors and the influence of environmental factors on them due to static genes in some genotypes. Sometimes, said gene does not express itself in a particular environment but is revealed in others, as the main reason for the correlation is the multiple effects of the gene affecting two characteristics and more; thus, the gene isolation causes changes to attributes it can affect.

#### Phenotypic correlation

The percentage of crude protein and the characteristics under study with three concentrations of potassium spray ( $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$ ) are available in Table 3. The percentage of ash positively associated and significantly correlated at the probability level of 1% with the characteristics, crude fiber (%), soluble carbohydrates, and the percentage of moisture for all concentrations under study, but not significant at all concentrations with crude protein (%).

The percentage of moisture with raw fiber (%) and soluble carbohydrates (%) was negatively and significantly correlated at the 1% probability level for concentrations ( $K_0$  and  $K_1$ ), respectively, and with percent soluble carbohydrates, negatively connected at the 5% probability level for  $K_0$  solution, with the rest of

the correlations were not. It reached a significant level, and its values ranged between positive and negative.

The soluble carbohydrates (%) trait had associations with two characteristics: crude protein (%) and crude fiber (%), with a positive and significant correlation at the probability level of 1% for all concentrations under study except for the  $K_2$  concentration in the characteristic of crude fiber (%) that did not reach a level of significance.

Crude fiber (%) with crude protein (%) showed an association of a highly significant positive correlation at the 1% probability level for two concentrations ( $K_0$  and  $K_1$ ), but they were not significant at the concentration  $K_2$ . The quality of the crop and its components had environmental and genetic factors affecting them. When a characteristic of the yield components is positively correlated, this means an improvement in the second trait when the first increases. An increase in the yield increased the protein percentage, and the protein components improved, and vice versa. These results are consistent with past findings that indicated a positive relationship between the quality of the yield and the percentage of protein (Al-Hazzaa, 2001; Zacevic et al., 2004).

#### Genetic correlation

The genetic correlation coefficient between the percentage of crude protein and the traits under study and three concentrations of potassium spray ( $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$ ) appear in Table 3. The ash (%) positively associated and significantly correlated at the probability level of 1% with the crude fiber (%) and soluble carbohydrates characteristics. The percentage

Traits	Correlate	К	Ash	Humidity	Soluble carbohydrates	Fiber	Protein
Protein	Phenotypic	K <sub>0</sub>	-0.2252	-0.2572	**0.6467	**0.8824	
	correlation	$K_1$	-0.3499	-0.3433	**0.6276	**0.6064	
		K <sub>2</sub>	-0.0884	0.0631	**0.7323	0.2487	
	Genetic	K <sub>0</sub>	-0.2441	-0.1256	**0.7577	1.0574	
	correlation	K1	**-0.5565	**-0.5162	**0.8718	**0.81	
		K <sub>2</sub>	-0.0411	0.1395	**0.7871	0.1782	
	Environmental	K <sub>0</sub>	-0.1756	**-0.5171	*0.4165	0.1504	
	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	0.1396	-0.0407	0.0291	0.2722	
		K <sub>2</sub>	-0.2268	-0.1869	**0.5762	*0.4165	
Fiber	Phenotypic	K <sub>0</sub>	**0.6403	**-0.5386	**0.718		
	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	**0.4874	-0.1498	**0.5384		
		K <sub>2</sub>	**0.61/1	0.2363			
	Genetic	K <sub>0</sub>	**0.7399	**-0.6448	**0.8/53		
	correlation	K1	**0.545	-0.1609	**1.0208		
	En vivenne entrel	K <sub>2</sub>	**0.6226	0.3073	0.372		
	Environmental	К <sub>0</sub>	*0.419	-0.2711	0.3122		
	correlation	K1 K	**0 6016	-0.1200	-0.0096		
Colubio	Dhanaturaia	K <sub>2</sub>	**0.7791	0.0462 * 0.4142	0.3535		
Soluble	correlation	κ <sub>0</sub> κ	**0 0005	** 0 5706			
carbonyurates	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	**0.6613	-0.0666			
	Genetic	K <sub>2</sub>	**0.8432	*-0 /371			
	correlation	K.	**1 0789	**-1 1899			
	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	**0 6418	-0 1271			
	Environmental	K <sub>2</sub>	**0 5545	-0 3822			
	correlation	K₁	0.2323	*0 4029			
	correlation	K <sub>2</sub>	**0.717	0.0865			
Humidity	Phenotypic	Ko	**0.7362				
· · aa.c)	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	**0.6193				
		K <sub>2</sub>	**0.7439				
	Genetic	K <sub>0</sub>	**0.7877				
	correlation	K <sub>1</sub>	**0.9797				
		K <sub>2</sub>	**0.8561				
	Environmental	K <sub>0</sub>	*0.4278				
	correlation	K1	0.1124				
		K <sub>2</sub>	*0.4552				
Ash	Phenotypic	K <sub>0</sub>					
	correlation	$K_1$					
		K <sub>2</sub>					
	Genetic	K <sub>0</sub>					
	correlation	K1					
		K <sub>2</sub>					
	Environmental	K <sub>0</sub>					
	correlation	$K_1$					
		K <sub>2</sub>					

**Table 3.** The phenotypic, genetic, and environmental association of genotypes in the studied traits.

of moisture for all the concentrations under study gave a negative and significant correlation at the probability level of 1% with crude protein (%) feature at the dilution  $K_1$ ; however, it was nonsignificant at the concentrations  $K_0$  and  $K_2$ , with the characteristic of crude protein (%).

Moisture (%) is interrelated with crude protein (%), crude fiber (%), and soluble carbohydrates (%), with a negative and significant correlation at the 1% probability level for concentrations  $K_1$ ,  $K_0$ , and  $K_1$ , respectively, and with soluble carbohydrates (%), a significant negative correlation at the 5% probability level for concentration  $K_0$ . Meanwhile, the rest of the correlations did not reach a significant level, and their values ranged between positive and negative.

The characteristic, soluble carbohydrates (%)was associated with two characteristics: crude protein (%) and crude fiber (%), with a positive and significant correlation at the level of probability of 1% for all concentrations under study, except for the formulation  $K_2$  in the trait crude fiber (%) that did not reach a level of significance. Crude fiber (%) with crude protein (%) exhibited associations, with a highly significant positive correlation at the 1% probability level for the two concentrations,  $K_0$  and  $K_1$ , but was nonsignificant at the concentration  $K_2$ .

The importance of genetic correlation comes from selecting between two traits. If the correlation is positive, then an improvement in one of them will result in an improvement in the second trait. If the correlation is negative, then the selection to increase one of them will lead to the deterioration of the other. When there is no correlation between the two elected traits, the preference for one will not affect the other (Falconer, 1981). Similar results came from Al-Fahadi (1982).

# Environmental correlation

Table 3 shows the genetic correlation coefficient between the percentage of crude protein and traits under study and three concentrations of potassium spray (K<sub>0</sub>, K<sub>1</sub>, and  $K_2$ ). An association of the percentage of ash has a positive and significant correlation at the probability level of 1% with the crude fiber (%) trait at concentration  $\mathsf{K}_2$  and with soluble carbohydrates at  $K_0$  and  $K_2$  solutions. Likewise, it has a positive and significant correlation at the level of 5% probability with the raw fiber (%) characteristic at concentrations  $K_0$  and  $K_1$ and with the moisture percentage attribute at concentrations  $K_0$  and  $K_2$ . However, the rest of the correlations did not reach a significant level, and their values ranged between positive and negative.

The moisture (%) with crude protein (%) gave a negative and significant correlation at the 1% probability level of concentration  $K_1$ , and with soluble carbohydrates (%) negatively substantial at the 5% probability level of  $K_1$  dilution. The rest of the correlations did not reach a level of significance, with values ranging between positive and negative.

The soluble carbohydrates (%) trait was associated with two characteristics of crude protein (%), with a positive and significant correlation at the 1% probability level at concentration  $K_2$  and a positive and significant correlation at the 5% probability level, except for concentration  $K_0$ . Other correlations did not reach a significant level, and their values ranged between positive and negative.

Crude fiber (%) has a connection with crude protein (%), with a positive and significant correlation at the 5% probability level of concentration ( $K_2$ ); however, the rest of the correlations did not reach a significant level, and their values ranged between positive and negative (Khaled *et al.*, 2023).

# Phenotypic, genetic, and environmental path coefficients

The analysis of the phenotypic, genetic, and environmental correlation coefficients between the crude protein and some of the variables affecting it underwent division into direct and indirect effects to find the most influential traits as a criterion for selection. Genotypes in breeding programs mainly depend on the most effective traits through their direct or indirect correlations. It is an essential and better path to achieve the goal of breeding programs. These variables comprised raw fibers (%), soluble carbohydrates (%), moisture (%), and ash (%) percentage, as independent variables with protein. Previous studies and research confirmed that such variables need not have positive and significant correlations to affect the quality of the grain yield. The following tables show the analysis of the crude protein and the studied characteristics of the

genotypes' phenotypic, genetic, and environmental path coefficients in three concentrations of potassium spray ( $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ , and  $K_2$ ).

# Crude fiber (%)

Table 4 shows the phenotypic correlation in the raw fiber (%) trait, indicating indirect effects with the percentage of moisture by having a negative and medium direct impact on the phenotypic correlation value (-0.410)) at concentration  $K_0$ . It is consistent with results from Ziya *et al.* (2012). As for the genetic correlation (Table 4), the characteristic of raw fiber (%) showed a direct and undesirable effect at concentration  $K_1$  that amounted to -1.216. It is also consistent with reports by Aydin *et al.* (2010).

As for the environmental correlation data in Table 4, raw fiber (%) attribute provided both a direct and indirect environmental correlation that was negative or positive and weak, or there was no linear relationship between them (Atar *et al.*, 2018; Hasan and Abdullah, 2020, 2021; Abdullah and Hasan, 2021; Hasan *et al.*, 2022; Muhammad *et al.*, 2021; Younis *et al.*, 2022a, b).

# Soluble carbohydrates (%)

The phenotypic correlation of the soluble carbohydrates (%) showed indirect effects through the percentage of moisture having a direct, positive, and moderate outcome in its value of the phenotypic correlation at concentrations  $K_0$  and  $K_2$  that amounted to 0.4920 and 0.4010, respectively (Table 5), which was higher than the concentration  $K_1$  at 0.6360. These results align with findings from Ziya *et al.* (2012).

As for the genetic correlation from the data of the same table, the characteristic of soluble carbohydrates (%) showed a direct, negative, and medium effect at the concentration  $K_1$  with a score of -4.789 and the indirect effects through the percentage of ash being positive and very high at  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  solutions in their values. The genetic correlation amounted to 0.9150 and 0.9620

sequentially, consistent with what Aydin *et al.* (2010) obtained.

The environmental correlation data in Table 5 showed the soluble carbohydrates (%) trait with a direct environmental correlation that was positive and average for the concentration  $K_1$  at 0.4020, consistent with several study reports (Atar *et al.*, 2018; Abdullah and Hasan, 2021; Hasan and Abdullah, 2020; Hasan and Abdullah, 2021; Hasan *et al.*, 2022; Khaled *et al.*, 2023).

# Humidity (%)

Table 6 shows the phenotypic correlation in the characteristic of humidity (%), exhibiting that the direct effect was medium and positive at concentration  $K_2$ , reaching 0.5480, highly positive at concentration  $K_0$  at 0.761, and entirely positive at  $K_1$  solution, amounting to 0.761 and 1.013, aligning with what was found by Ziya *et al.* (2012).

As for the genetic correlation data in the same table, the trait humidity (%) showed a direct, positive, and medium effect at concentration  $K_1$  at 4.295 and a direct, positive, and high effect at concentration  $K_2$  at 0.7030. The indirect effects occurred through raw fiber (%), which was positive and average at concentration  $K_1$ , and its value of genetic correlation amounted to -4.175. For the percentage of ash, it is positive and complete at zero potassium concentration ( $K_0$ ), with the genetic correlation value at 1.106, and highly positive at concentration  $K_1$ , reaching 0.763. These results agree with the findings by Aydin *et al.* (2010).

The environmental correlation data in Table 6 indicated the characteristic of humidity (%). The direct environmental correlation was positive and average at the two concentrations ( $K_0$  and  $K_2$ ) at 0.4640 and 0.550, respectively, consistent with results from Atar *et al.* (2018).

# Ash (%)

Table 7 shows the phenotypic correlation in the ash percentage characteristic, revealing that the direct effect was medium and positive at the concentration  $K_2$ , amounted to 0.5910, and

Effect type	Phenotypic correlation			Genetic correlation			Environmental correlation		
К	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>
Direct effect	0.246	-0.301	-0.179	0.085	-1.216	-0.127	0.202	0.200	-0.236
Indirect effect									
Soluble carbohydrates	-0.048	0.078	0.006	0.002	2.472	-0.028	-0.111	-0.016	-0.032
Humidity	-0.410	-0.151	0.129	0.125	-0.691	0.216	-0.125	-0.030	0.026
Ash	-0.012	0.250	-0.039	-0.457	-1.121	-0.100	-0.140	-0.013	0.015
Total correlation with	-0.225	-0.349	-0.088	-0.244	-0.556	-0.041	-0.175	0.139	-0.226
protein									

**Table 4.** Analysis of the coefficient of phenotypic, genetic, and environmental pathways for the genotypes in the characteristic of crude fiber (%).

**Table 5.** Analysis of the coefficient of phenotypic, genetic, and environmental pathways for genotypes in the characteristic of soluble carbohydrates (%).

Effect type	Phenotypic correlation			Ger	etic correl	ation	Environmental correlation		
К	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
Direct effect	0.189	-0.228	0.010	-0.018	-4.789	-0.207	0.215	0.402	0.174
Indirect effect									
Fiber	-0.063	0.103	-0.011	-0.010	0.627	-0.017	-0.104	-0.008	0.044
Humidity	0.492	0.636	0.401	-0.147	3.744	0.553	0.193	0.007	0.317
Ash	0.021	-0.024	0.215	0.915	0.962	0.294	0.114	0.001	0.065
Total correlation	0.640	0.487	0.617	0.739	0.545	0.622	0.419	0.402	0.601
with protein									

**Table 6.** Analysis of the coefficient of phenotypic, genetic, and environmental pathways for the genotypes in terms of humidity (%).

Effect type	ype Phenotypic correlation			Genetic	correlatio	۱	Environmental correlation			
К	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>	
Direct effect	0.761	1.013	0.548	-0.194	4.295	0.703	0.464	0.253	0.550	
Indirect effect										
Soluble carbohydrates	-0.132	0.045	-0.042	-0.055	0.195	-0.039	-0.054	-0.024	-0.011	
Humidity	0.122	-0.143	0.007	-0.013	-4.175	-0.163	0.089	0.011	0.100	
Ash	0.026	-0.027	0.147	1.106	0.763	0.141	0.055	-0.009	0.076	
Total correlation with protein	0.778	0.888	0.661	0.843	1.078	0.641	0.554	0.232	0.717	

Table 7. Analysis of the coefficient of phenotypic, genetic	c, and environmental pathways for genotypes
in the character of ash percentage.	

Effect type	Phenotypic correlation			Genetic c	orrelation		Environmental correlation		
К	K <sub>0</sub>	K <sub>1</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>0</sub>	$K_1$	K <sub>2</sub>
Direct effect	0.030	-0.044	0.591	1.046	0.942	0.791	0.368	-0.033	.1840
Indirect effect									
Soluble carbohydrates	-0.101	0.172	0.011	-0.037	1.446	0.016	-0.077	0.080	-0.020
Humidity	0.136	-0.123	0.003	-0.015	-4.889	-0.077	0.067	-0.003	0.061
Ash	0.672	0.614	0.136	-0.205	3.479	0.125	0.069	0.069	0.229
Total correlation with	0.736	0.619	0.743	0.787	0.979	0.856	0.427	0.112	0.455
protein									

the indirect effect was positive and high for the two concentrations ( $K_0$  and  $K_1$ ), reaching 0.6720 and 0.614, respectively. These results were consistent with the findings of Ziya *et al.* (2012), Muhammad *et al.* (2021), and Younis *et al.* (2022a, b).

The genetic correlation data in the same table provided the characteristic of the percentage of ash with a direct, positive, and complete effect at the concentration  $K_0$ , which amounted to 1.046; a direct, positive, and very high effect at the concentration  $K_1$  at 0.9420; and a direct, positive, and high effect at the solution K<sub>2</sub>. It amounted to 0.7910, consistent with what Aydin et al. (2010) reported. Meanwhile, in the environmental correlation data (Table 7), the characteristic of the percentage of ash showed that the direct and indirect environmental correlations were negative, positive, and weak, or there was no linear relationship between them, in analogy with the findings of Atar *et al*. (2018).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The traits showed a positive and significant correlation with the crude protein of the grain yield in the oat plant at all levels of spray concentrations, having valuable direct and indirect effects through some other traits; therefore, they can serve as selective evidence to improve the oat's grain yield under the study conditions.

#### REFERENCES

- Abdullah RM, Hasan SA (2021). Estimation of components of genetic variance using Jinks-Hayman method analysis on the crop of faba bean (*Vicia Faba* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Stat. Sci.* 16(1): 1897-1903.
- Ahmad M, Zaffar GZ, Dar ZA, Habib M (2014). A review on oat (*Avena sativa* L.) as a dualpurpose crop. *J. Sci. Res. Ess.* 9(4): 52-59.
- Al-Dulaimi RLAA (2020). Performance, estimation of genetic parameters and pathway analysis under the influence of potassium spraying of some genotypes of oats. *Avena sativa* L. Master's Thesis, College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk.

- Al-Fahadi MY (1982). Genetic studies of leaf rust infection, protein content, yield and its components of cross-crosses with Saber Beek wheat. Master's Thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul.
- Al-Hazzaa JA (2001). Genetic variation and genetic stability in several genotypes of soft wheat (*T. aestivum* L). Master's Thesis, College of Agriculture and Forestry, University of Mosul.
- Al-Jubouri KK, Muhammad M, Muhammad KA (2009). Correlation and variance study and estimation of some genetic parameters of yield traits and components in bread wheat. *Diya. Agric. Sci. J.* 1(1): 308-319.
- Al-Yasari MNH (2022). Potassium and nano-copper fertilization effects on morphological and production traits of oat (*Avena sativa* L.). *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 54(3): 678-685. http://doi.org/10.54910/ sabrao2022.54.3.20.
- Al-Zubaidi KMD, Al-Jubouri KKA (2016). Design and Analysis of Genetic Experiments. Dar Al-Waddah for Publishing, Kingdom of Jordan-Amman, Dijla Bookshop for Printing, Publishing and Distribution, Republic of Iraq-Baghdad.
- Atar S, Vyas RP, Kumar S, Singh HC, Deep A, Malik P, Singh A (2018). Genetic variability and correlation of seed yield and related characters in oat (*Avena sativa* L.). Int. J. Chem. Stud. 6(1): 1533-1537.
- Aydin N, Sermet C, Mut Z, Orhan H, Ozcan H (2010). Path analyses of yield and some agronomic and quality traits of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different environments. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 9(32): 5131-5134.
- Central Organization for Statistics, Information Technology and Agricultural Reports (2018). Ministry of Planning and Development Cooperation - Iraq.
- Falconer DS (1981). Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. 3rd Edition. Longman, New York, pp. 365.
- Hasan SA, Abdullah RM (2020). Estimating the performance and gene action of a number of individual genotypes and hybrids on the crop of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.). *Plant. Arch. J.* 20(2): 8981-8988.
- Hasan SA, Abdullah RM, Hanoon MB (2022). Effect of foliar application with proline on growth, yield, and quality of faba bean (*Vicia faba* I.) A review. *Eur. J. Agric. Rur. Edu.* 3(3): 15-21.

- Hasan SA, Abdullah RM (2021). Characterization of genetic variability through the use of RAPDs markers of a group of native and commercial genotypes of bean species. *Int. J. Agric. Stat. Sci.* 17(1): 1141-1147.
- Hassan AA (2005). Improving quantitative traits, biostatistics and its applications in plant breeding programmes. Arab House for Publishing and Distribution, Cairo, Egypt.
- Helsel DG, Skrdla RK (1983). Breeding for grain yield in oats (*Avena sativa* L.). *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 15(2): 139-145.
- Khaled KAM, Habiba RMM, Bashasha JA, Azzam CR, Abdel-Aziz MH (2023). In silico and genetic analysis related to tillering ability in maize. *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 55(1): 156-162.
- Maulani R, Murti RH, Purwantoro A (2023). Molecular diversity in populations of chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *SABRAO J. Breed. Genet.* 55(1): 15-24.
- Muhammad NI, Humada YH, Abdullah RM (2021). Using phenotypic and molecular indicators rapd-pcr to evaluate the performance and genetic dimension of a number of genotypes and their individual hybrids in the chickpea plant *Cicer arietinum* L. *Nveo. Natu. Vola. Ess. Oil. J.* 8(4): 11786-11810.
- USDA, National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference 1 Release April (2018). Software

developed by the National Agricultural Library v.3.9. 52018 – 08 – 24. https://ndb.nal.usda.gov/ndb/foods/show/3 05239?n1.

- Wright S (1921). Correlation and causation. *J. Agric. Res.* 20(7): 557-585.
- Younis HS, Abdullah RM, Hassan SA, Abdul Sattar AA, Amer KZ (2022a). Effect of biological and cultivar control to Ear- Cockle Nematode disease caused by the nematode (*Anguina tritici*) on different genotypes of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Ann. For. Res. 65(1): 916-930.
- Younis HS, Abdullah RM, Hasan SA, Abdul-sattar AA (2022b). Systemic resistance indicators study and seed gall nematode disease caused by *Anguina tritici* affecting of biological and varietal treatments on bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Int. J. Agric. Stat. Sci.* 18(1): 289-296.
- Zacevic NA, Abdullah RM, Hassan SA (2004). Genetic diversity of genetic resources of winter barley maintained in the genebank in Slovakia. *Czech J. Genet. Plant Breed.* 40(4): 118-126.
- Ziya D, Rukiye K, Tevrican D, Aydin A (2012). Correlation and path analysis of grain yield and yield components of some Turkish oat genotypes. *Pak. J. Bot.* 44(1): 321-325.