SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics 50 (3) 313-328, 2018



MOLECULAR DIVERSITY OF THE FLOWERING RELATED GENE (*LEAFY*) ON SHALLOT (*Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum*) AND ALLIUM RELATIVES

MARLIN^{1,2*}, A. MAHARIJAYA^{1,3}, A. PURWITO¹ and SOBIR^{1,3}

¹Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Bogor Agricultural University Jalan Raya Darmaga Bogor 16680, Indonesia ²Department of Agroecotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Bengkulu Jalan WR. Supratman Kandang Limun Bengkulu 38371, Indonesia ³Center for Tropical Horticulture Studies, Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia *Corresponding author's email: marlin@unib.ac.id Email addresses of coauthors: awang.maharijaya@gmail.com, apurwito@ipb.ac.id, rsobir@yahoo.com

SUMMARY

Flowering initiation in shallot (Allium cepa var. aggregatum) has predicticably been associated to the function of the LEAFY (LFY) gene. This gene has been reported as the flowering meristem identity, a potential indicator of plant flowering ability. This study identified diversity of the shLFY (shallot-LFY) gene in 5 shallot genotypes in relation to their flowering pattern compared to homolog sequence of Allium relatives. Genomic DNA from the 5 genotypes was amplified using novel primers (F/5'-GACCCAACAGACCCCTAC-3' R/5'-TTAGAACATAGAGGACACAGAG-3'), and resulting in *shLFY* gene sequences with fragment lengths between 1,161 and1,253 bp. These fragments were found to consist of 3 exons with 2 introns. Identification of the *shLFY* gene from the sequences of 5 shallot genotypes confirms the existence of genetic polymorphism among shallot genotypes. The result of sequence analysis based on genomic and amino acid sequences shows 3 groups of shLFY genes in shallot related to flowering pattern. The first group consists of a single member, bm1LFY (Bentanis), and was named the naturally flowering type. The second group contains *bm2LFY* (Bima Brebes) and *bm4LFY* (Tajuk), and was named the inducible flowering type. The third group is made up of *bm3LFY* (Ilokos) and *bm5LFY* (Sumenep) and was called the non-flowering shallot type. The ShLFY sequence encodes a putative protein of 363 amino acids, with \sim 99% homology to the Allium *cepa LEAFY* and > 95% homology to *LEAFY* proteins from other higher plants. The shLFY protein in the 5 shallot genotypes showed homology with FLORICAULA/LFY protein from referenced Allium relatives.

Key words: BLAST analysis, flowering gene, genomic PCR, phylogenetic analysis, sequence aligment

Key findings: The *shLFY* gene confirms the existence of genetic polymorphism among shallot genotypes. The *shLFY* sequence encodes a putative protein of 363 amino acids, and shows homology with *LFY* protein from referenced *Allium*

relatives. Research base on genetic diversity underlying the flowering induction will become an important target in shallot breeding programs.

Manuscript received: December 15, 2017; Decision on manuscript: July 24, 2018; Accepted: August 14, 2018. © Society for the Advancement of Breeding Research in Asia and Oceania (SABRAO) 2018

Communicating Editor: Dr. Ram Nair

INTRODUCTION

The flowering initiation is transitional process which is indicated by the reduced vegetative growth of plants into reproductive development. Flowering initiation on shallot plants (Allium сера var. aggregatum) predicticably have been related to flowering pattern. The control of flowering in plants involves many environmental and intrinsic factors (Yang et al., 2016). The induction treatment is required to trigger the flowering process by activating a number of enzymes to improve the flowering gene function. Molecular diversity of flower-regulatory genes determines the plant flowering competency.

Some genes that control the flowering process of plants have been identified successfully. Jeon et al. (2000) findings on rice transgenic plants indicated that OsMADS14 plays a role in supporting flowering and determining flowering meristems. In the genome of rice plants identified 3 AP1-like MADS-box genes namely OsMADS14 / RAP1B, OsMADS15 / RAP1A and OsMADS18, which are derivatives of FRUITFULL (FUL) (Litt and Irish, 2003). William et al. (2004) show that the transition process of vegetative meristem to flowering is controlled by plant specific transcription factor and meristem identity regulator that is *LEAFY* gene.

LEAFY homologous genes (LFY) plays a central role to control flowering time and floral meristem

identity in plants. LFY have been studied in angiosperms and gimnosperms (Frohlich and Parker 2000; Rudall et al., 2009). Studies on Arabidopsis plants indicated the presence of the specific flower-related genes, such as the APETALA1 gene (Mandel et al., 1992), the FRI/FLC gene (Caicedo et al., 2004), and LFY COTYLEDONE2 (LEC2) (Kim et al., 2014). FLORICAULA/LFY genes have been studied in Anthirrinum maius (Coen et al., 1990), Oryza sativa (Kyozuka et al., 1998), Citrus (Pena 2001), Zea mays (Bomblies et al., 2003), Allium sativum (Rotem et al., 2007), Brassica rafa subsp. Chinensis (Hong-xia et al., 2015) and Allium cepa (Yang et al., 2016), while Kim et al. (2014) had not been elucidated well the LFY gene identity in adzuki beans. In Arabidopsis, the LFY gene is expressed constitutionally throughout LFY its life cycle, expression is significantly high in reproductive tissue and tends to be reduce in vegetative tissue (Weigel et al., 1992; Blazquez et al., 1997).

The LFY gene is an important element switches process in the vegetative and reproductive phase (Blázquez et al., 1997). In Arabidopsis thaliana, the LFY gene is the main gene regulated the transition of shoot meristem to flower meristem (Weigel et al., 1992; 1997; Yamaguchi et al., 2014). The *LFY* gene is known as the control of flowering primary competencies in plant (Putterill et al., 2004). The LFY gene is a direct connection between the flowering induction and all the processes related to flowering initiation (Blazquez *et al.*, 1997). The floral meristem identity genes expression is determined by the *LFY* gene representation through the emergence of floral meristems (Parcy *et al.*, 1998; Siriwardana and Lamb 2012). The *LFY* transcription factor in *Arabidopsis*was recognized as the main regulator for flower development (Engelhorn *et al.*, 2014).

The *LFY* gene is a flowering meristem indentity genes together with APETALA1 (AP1) is an important transcription factor for the flowering initiation. The LFY gene is an AP1 regulatory aene that directly stimulates the transcription of the AP1 gene (Weigel et al., 1992; Hempel et al., 2000; Chahtane et al., 2013). The presence of LFY and AP1 genes expressed in primordia buds indicates the specification on the orchestra switches of shoot buds development to flower formation (Weigel and Nilsson, 1995), upregulation of LFY and AP1 push the flowering mode initiation (Putterill et al., 2004). LFY and AP1 organize the expression of genes concerned in the hormone pathways (O'Maoileidigh et al., 2014). The formation of floral meristems controlled by the LFY gene through auxin activity (MONOPTEROS auxin factor) increases the response expression of the LFY gene (Yamaguchi 2013). et al., LFY stimulates flower primordium initiation, ensuing the floral organ development, initiation and and presenting with polar auxin transport (Yamaguchi et al., 2014).

Therefore, identification of *LFY* gene in shallot plant is necessary to promote flower induction and seeds production. *LFY* gene remains uncharacterized in agronomicaly important shallot genotypes. Shallot LFY gene remains poorly characterized in shallot thus far. This study objected to identify the molecular diversity of shallot *LEAFY* gene (*shLFY*) sequences on 5 genotypes of shallot collected in Indonesia related to flowering pattern and compare them to homolog sequence of *Allium* relatives. The diversity of LFY genes in 5 shallot genotypes utilized can be for development of gene-based markers in order to observe and identify the aenetic diversity underlvina the induction flowering in shallot. Research base on flower initiation will become an important target in shallot breeding programs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction

genotypes of shallot with Local different pattern of flowering were selected as plant material. Shallot genotype 'Bentanis' confirms as the naturally flowering shallot, while shallot genotypes Bima Brebes, Ilokos, Tajuk and Sumenep identify as the inducible flowering shallot. Shallot fresh leaf of 5 genotypes (5 weeks after planting) were cutted directly for DNA extraction. DNA isolation method of Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB) Doyle dan Doyle (1987) have been used with modification. Amount of 0.5 g sample was grind with 0.1 g PVP. The crude sample were mixed with 300 µL of extraction buffer (tris-HCI 1 M pH 8.0, NaCl 5 M, EDTA 0.5 M, and CTAB 10%. The samples were incubated at 65 °C for 60 min, 500 µL amount of chloroform: isoamyl alcohol = 24 : 1 was added, and gently mixed for 1 min. Sample were centrifuge at 11000 rpm, temperature 4°C, 10 min. 500 μ L of the aqueous supernatant was carefully transferred to new microtube. DNA precipitation was prepared by mixed the aqueous supernatant with 1 mL of isopropanol (twice of volume) and incubated in the freezer *overnight*. The precipitated DNA were then pelletized by a centrifugation step (11.000)rpm, temperature 4 °C, 10 min), and the supernatant was gently removed. The DNA pellet was washed with 200 µL of ethanol 70% and was centrifugated at 11000 rpm, 5 min. Residual ethanol was removed by maintaining the sample at room temperature for 2 hours. The DNA pellet was finally fixed in100 uL buffer TE.

DNA solution (5 microliters of DNA template combined with 2 µL loading dye) were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (0.8%), stained with ethidium bromide solution (0.01%),and perceived with transilluminator UV light. The DNA concentration was examined on a 1% agarose gel and then estimated using а NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, Del., USA).

Primer design and DNA amplification

The primer design of *shLFY* gene based on GenBank database of some Allium species that is accessed by using the BLAST (basic local alligment search tool) program at the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnological Information) website of http: //ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/). Plants used for primer designing such as LFY flowering gene of Allium fistulosum (KF270626.1), Allium fistulosum (KF270625.1), Allium sativum (AY563105.1), Allium sativum (AY672745.1), Allium cepa var. aggregatum (JX275963.1), Allium (JX275962.1), cepa and Allium

sativum (AY563104.1). The primer has matching a conserved region of the *LFY* genes from *Allium* plants. Alignment of the selected gene using Geneiuos program 9.0.2. Primer pairs selected based online Primer3plus program. Primer pair subsequently consited of forward primer (F/5'-GACCCAACAGACCCCTAC-3') and (R/5'primer reverse TTAGAACATAGAGGACACAGAG-3') and used to amplify specific nucleotide sequences of shLFY genes.

Isolated DNA was applied directly in *polymerase* chain reaction amplifications. Amplification (PCR) reaction was used 1 µM of DNA template, 1 µM of each primer forward and reverse, 6 µl PCR mix go tag green master Promega and 5 µl nuclease water were combined in a total volume of 14 µL. After an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 35 cycles at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 53 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 25 s were operated. For a terminal elongation, the reaction batches were heated for 5min at 72 °C. Reactions were executed in a thermocycler (Applied Biosystem 2720 Thermal Cycler).

PCR product (5 μ L of DNA template combined with 2 μ L loading dye) were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (1.2%), 47 min, 50 volt, stained with *ethidium bromide* solution (0.01%), and detected with transilluminator UV light.

Gene structure

Representative gene structure of *shLFY* gene from 5 genotypes *Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum*, Bentanis genotype (*bm1LFY*), Bima Brebes (*bm2LFY*), Ilokos (*bm3LFY*), Tajuk (*bm4LFY*), and Sumenep (*bm5LFY*) were described by matching transcript

sequences with genomic regions. Each regions were aligned manually using Geneious Pro 5.6.6 (Biomatters, USA). Numbers above exons and under introns resembled to their sizes, in base pairs.

Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis

Forward and reverse sequence fragments for each shallot genotypes were edited and assembled in contigs using Sequence scanner software v1.0 and CLC Sequence Viewer version 6.7.1. Ambiguous bases were adjusted visual examination bv of chromatograms to generate consensus sequences. Consensus sequences for each region were aligned manually using Geneious Pro 5.6.6 (Biomatters, USA). A search of the GenBank sequences,

(http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cg i) was accomplished using the BLASTn and BLASTp program for the purpose of grouping the sequence in each genotypes. Protein sequences of different shLFY homoloas were repossessed from Genbank (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/) and used for phylogenetic tree Phylogenetic construction. analysis were created by using a neighbourjoining method of Mega 6 software. The nearest neighbour-joining method was applied to create the tree. Bootstrap values were derived from 1,000 replicates and the scale bar indicates the length of the branch equivalent to the average substitution base per site.

RESULTS

Genomic DNA and amplification

We found a good quality of genomic DNA of 5 shallot genotypes with the absorbance ratio (A₂₆₀: A₂₈₀) between 2.05-2.19. The concentration of genomic DNA is difference among shallot genotypes. Genomic DNA concentration for the Bentanis genotype is 233.9 ng.µl⁻¹, Bima Brebes genotype is 194.2 ng.µl⁻¹, Ilokos genotype is 290.1 ng.µl⁻¹, Sumenep genotype is 96.3 ng.µl⁻¹, whereas the Tajuk genotype is the highest concentration of genomic DNA, 635.4 ng.µl⁻¹.

The specific primer for *shLFY* gene, (F/5'-GACCCAACAGACCCCTAC-3') and (R/5'-TTAGAACATAGAGGACACAGAG-3'), were able to amplify all *shLFY* genomic shallot DNA fragments from 5 genotypes. PCR amplification using a single primer pair (forward and reverse) produced an amplicon band size of \sim 1,200 bp, respectively (Figure 1).

ShLFY gene structure

molecular Advances in DNA technology are able to detect certain aenes sequence, including flowerrelated genes in shallot plants. Sequence amplification of *shLFY* genes from 5 shallot genotypes constructs a simple structure with fragment lengths between 1,161 and 1,253 bp. The fragment contains open reading frame between 1,062 and 1,096 bp. The *shLFY* gene sequence from the 5 genotypes of *Allium* putatively consists of 3 exons and 2 introns. The exon fragments has fragment lenaths between 173 and 512 bp, while the intron fragment lengths between 62 and 89 bp (Figure 2). We illustrated the structure of *shLFY* fragment using sample sequence of



Figure 1. PCR-agarose product visualization of 5 DNA genom of shallot *Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum*, Bentanis genotype (1), Bima Brebes genotype (2), Ilokos genotype (3), Tajuk genotype (4), and Sumenep genotype (5).



Figure 2. Representative gene structure of *shLFY* gene from 5 genotypes *Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum*, Bentanis genotype (*bm1LFY*), Bima Brebes (*bm2LFY*), Ilokos (*bm3LFY*), Tajuk (*bm4LFY*), and Sumenep (*bm5LFY*). The structure were described by comparing transcript sequences with genomic regions. Numbers above exons and under introns correspond to their sizes, in base pairs.

shLFY of Sumenep genotype (*bm5LFY*), as presented in Figure 2.

Sequence analysis of 5 fragments of *shLFY* gene

Analysis of sequences homology of compared shLFY aene were to accession of LFY gene in GenBank database using BLASTn analysis. The BLASTn analysis shows that nucleotide sequences of 5 shLFY gene fragments have a high identity (82%-99%) with nucleotide sequences from other LFY genes of Allium deposited at GenBank, ie with accession number KF270625.1, KF270626.1, JX275962.1, JX275963.1, AY563104.1, AY672745.1, AB829896.1, and AY563105.1 as data listed in Table 1.

A pairwise distance method were estimated to determine the evolutionary divergence between shLFY genes of 5 shallot genotypes. The result in Table 2 shows a high genetic similarity among *shLFY* gene. This similarity is indicated by low genetic distance value among 5 shLFY gene. The lowest genetic differences (at 0.0044) is the bm2LFY (Bima and bm4LFY Brebes) (Tajuk) genotypes. While the bm5LFY (Sumenep genotype) is close to the bm3LFY (Ilokos genotype). The *bm1LFY* gene (Bentanis genotype) has a considerable genetic difference to *shLFY* genes of the other genotype, that is range between 0.0967 and 0.1429. Genes with close genetic distance indicate hiah genetic compatibility, and locate within the same cluster.

Figure 3 shows the results of phylogenetic analysis between *shLFY* gene from 5 shallot genotypes and other accession *LFY* gene sequence from GenBank database. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by

using a neighbour-joining method. There are 3 groups of 5 shLFY genes, *bm1LFY* (Bentanis genotype) in the first group, bm2LFY (Bima Brebes genotype) and bm4LFY (Tajuk genotype) in the second group, genotype) bm3LFY (Ilokos and *bm5LFY* (Sumenep genotype) in the third group. The phylogenetic analysis of *shLFY* flowering gene fragments shows that bm2LFY (Bima Brebes and bm4LFY aenotype) (Tajuk genotype) are in the same clade with Allium cepa and Allium cepa var. aggregatum. However, bm3LFY (Ilokos genotype) and bm5LFY (Sumenep genotype) are in the same clade with Allium fistulosum.

Sequence analysis of translated amino acid of 5 shallot genotype *shLFY* gene fragments

We have identified preliminary alignment analysis of the predicted amino acid of *shLFY* gene fragments from 5 shallot genotypes and amino acid of 3 other Allium accessions from the GenBank database (Figure 4). Black blocks represented 100% conservation (identical) motifs where there is no amino acid changes occurred. Gray block represented 75% conservation motifs, and white blocks indicated unconserved motifs where there is changes in amino acid residues.

The sequence of *shLFY* amino acids shows a sequence identity with other accession *LFY*amino acid sequence from GenBank database.The analysis of predicted amino acids shows that *shLFY* genes from 5 shallot genotypes have similar identity with *LFY* mRNA from *Allium cepa* (identity 99%), *Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum* (98%), and *Allium fistulosum* (96%). This observation is exemplified by the data listed in Table 3.

Phylogenetic analysis were constructed by neighboring joining method shows that the predicted amino acid of *shLFY* genes clustered into 3 groups. The first group was a group of*bm1LFY* (Bentanis), the second group was *bm2LFY* (Bima Brebes) and *bm4LFY* (Tajuk), which were shared the same clade with *Allium cepa* AFR67540.1, *Allium cepa* var. *aggregatum* AFR67541.1, and the third group was consisted *bm3LFY* (Ilokos), and *bm5LFY* (Sumenep), which were shared the same clade with *Allium fistulosum* AGU12799.1 (Figure 5).

Table 1. Sequence identity of nucleotide sequences of *shLFY* gene fragments with related accessions of *Allium* in GenBank database.

Accession information	Genbank	shLFY	Outro (0/)	Identity	E value	
Accession information	accession no.	genotype	Quiry (%)	(%)	L-value	
Allium cepa	JX275962.1	bm1LFY	90	81	0	
		bm2LFY	98	99	0	
		bm3LFY	100	93	0	
		bm4LFY	100	99	0	
		bm5LFY	97	95	0	
Allium cepa var. aggregatum	JX275963.1	bm1LFY	92	90	0	
		bm2LFY	98	99	0	
		bm3LFY	100	93	0	
		bm4LFY	100	98	0	
		bm5LFY	97	94	0	
Allium fistulosum	KF270625.1	bm1LFY	91	89	0	
		bm2LFY	98	97	0	
		bm3LFY	100	96	0	
		bm4LFY	100	97	0	
		bm5LFY	99	97	0	
Allium fistulosum	KF270626.1	bm1LFY	91	90	0	
		bm2LFY	98	97	0	
		bm3LFY	100	99	0	
		bm4LFY	100	96	0	
		bm5LFY	99	99	0	
Allium sativum	AY563105.1	bm1LFY	44	82	7e-52	
		bm2LFY	47	88	0	
		bm3LFY	46	89	0	
		bm4LFY	49	88	1e-180	
		bm5LFY	46	88	1e-180	

Table 2. Estimates of evolutionary divergence between *shLFY* genes of 5 shallot genotypes. The base substitutions per site of 5 shallot genotypes sequences number were analyzed using the maximum composite likelihood model (Tamura *et al.*, 2004). Evolutionary analyses of *shLFY* genes of 5 shallot genotypes were run in MEGA6 (Tamura *et al.*, 2013).

	bm1LFY	bm2LFY	bm3LFY	bm4LFY	bm5LFY
bm1LFY					
bm2LFY	0.0967				
bm3LFY	0.1429	0.0577			
bm4LFY	0.0997	0.0044	0.0606		
bm5LFY	0.1385	0.0547	0.0360	0.0576	



Figure 3. Phylogenetic analysis of *shLFY* flowering gene fragments from 5 shallot genotypes. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by using a neighbour-joining method of Mega 6 software. The branch number is the percentage of repetition using the bootstrap method (1000 bootstrap). The 0.06 scale under the tree represents the length of the branch equivalent to the average substitution base per site. Bentanis genotype (*bm1LFY*), Bima Brebes (*bm2LFY*), Ilokos (*bm3LFY*), Tajuk (*bm4LFY*), and Sumenep (*bm5LFY*).

	1 10	20	30	40	50	60
De 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein	PRSPPLPPPI	HPSAPRGLADM	NGYGIRMLTV	THIGELGETPS	TULGMRDEE	LDDMMTTLS
C* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Re 2. Allium fetularum ACU42700.4 protein	PRSPPLPPP	HPSAPROLADM	ENGYGIRMLTV NGYGIRMLTV	IHIGELGITPS	THLGMRDEE	LDDMMTTLS
2. Allum Tistulosum AGU12799.1 protein Paul bm11 EV protein	EKSPELEPEL	HESAEKULALW	K CYCTR SCAW	IHLGELGETPS PDTCPTCPTD	TILLGMRUEE MRTCTDGFF	LUUMMITUS TDCTMADZS
Ce 5 bm2l FY protein	DRSPDTPDDT	HPSAPRINTANV	INGYGTRYD TVI	THICFTCRTPS	NTINE CMRDEE	
De 6. bm3LFY protein	PRSPPVPRPI	NPSAPRELAEF	IGYGIRSLIV	FPIGEFGFTPS	LLIGMRDEE	LEDMMTTLS
De 7. bm4LFY protein	PRSPPLPPP	H P S A P R D L A D V	NGYGIRYLTV	HIGELGETPS	TILGMRDEE	LDDMMTTLS
Ce 8. bm5LFY protein	PRSPPLPPP	NTLALRELAEF	BNGYGIRMLTV	SHIGELGETPE	TELGMRDEE	LDDMMTTLS
	70	80	90	100	110	120
🗠 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein	HLFRWELLVGEF	YGIKAAVRAERI	RRLDPAFLDDG	RRFSDVPLDA	ISQEGISEE	RVHHESGGE
2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein	HLFRWELLVGER	YGIKAAVRAERI	REDPAFEDDG	RRFSDVPLDA	<u>ISQEGISEE</u>	RVHHESGGE
De 3. Allium Tistulosum AGU12/99.1 protein De 4. hm11 EV protein	HLFRWELLVGER	YGIKAAVKAEK	KKLUPAS FUUG. PPT NDARRDD/1	REFSUVPLUA Presuvplua	LSUEGLSEE Tenveterr	RVQHESGGE
Pa 5 hm2l EV protein	HIFFWELLVGER	VGTKAAVRAERI		RDRFSDVPLDF	TSARCISEE	RVHHESGGE
Ce 6 bm3l FY protein	HIFRWEITVGER	YGFKAAVRAERI	RTDPASEDDG	RRFSDVPLDA	TSORGLARE	RVOHESGGE
De 7. bm4LFY protein	HLFRWELLVGER	YGIKAAVRAERI	RRLDPAFLDDG	RRFSDVPLDA	ISQEGISEE	RVHHESGGE
De 8. bm5LFY protein	HFFRWELLVGER	FGIKAAVRAERI	RRLDPASFDDGI	RRFSDVPLDA	ISQEGLSEE	RVQHESGGE
	130	140 1	50 160	170	181	0
🛯 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein	130 VITDKGKRSVTC	140 1 KKKSNKKDKEK	50 180 KKKMAATDVAG	170 EGEQETDSDSE	18 SDGSGVRYG) TERQREHPF
D≈ 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein D≈ 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein	130 VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC	140 1 KKKSNKKDKEK KKKPNKKDKEK	50 180 KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG	170 EGBQETDSDSE EGBQETDSDSE	18 SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG) <u>TERQREHPF</u> TER <u>Q</u> REHPF
De 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein De 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein De 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein	130 VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC	140 1 K K K S N K K D K E K 1 K K K P N K K D K E K 1 K K K S N K K D K E K	0 [100 KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKKAATEVAG	170 EGEQETDSDSE EGEQETDSDSE EGGQETDSDSE	SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVGYG	TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF
Ce 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein Ce 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Ce 3. Allium fistuliosum AGU12799.1 protein Ce 4. bm1LFV protein Ce 5. bm1LFV protein	130 VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC	140 KKKSNKKDKEK KKKPNKKDKEK KKKSNKKDKEK KEKSNQEGQEK	50 [80 KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKKAATEVAG KKKMAATDVAG	170 EGEQETDSDSE EGEQETDSDSE EGEQETDSDSE EGEPETDDDE	18 SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVGYG LDGSGVRFG	TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF
C	130 VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC VITDKGKRSVTC	140 1 KKKSNKKDKEK KKKPNKKDKEK KKKSNKKDKEK KKKSNKKDKEK KKKSNKKDKEK	100 XKKMAATDVAG XKKMAATDVAG XKKKAATEVAG XKKMAATDVAG XKKMAATDVAG	170 EGEQETDSDSE EGEQETDSDSE EGGQETDSDSE EGEPETDLDLE EGEQETDSDSE EGEQETDSDSE	8 SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVGYG LDGSGVREG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
C+ 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein C+ 4. bm1LFY protein C+ 5. bm3LFY protein C+ 5. bm3LFY protein C+ 7. bm3LFY protein	130 WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO	140 1 IKK SNKKD K EK IKK SNKKD K EK	20 100 KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKKAATEVAG KKKKAATDVAG	F_{0} F	ISDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVGYG SDGSGVRFG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG	TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF TERQREHPF
C+ 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein C+ 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein C+ 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein C+ 5. bm12FY protein C+ 5. bm32FY protein C+ 7. bm42FY protein C+ 8. bm42FY protein	130 WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO WITDKGKRSVTO	140 1 3XXX 9 NKKD K EK 3XXX 9 NKKD K EK 3XKK 9 NKKD K EK	10 10 KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKKAATEVAG KKKKAATEVAG	170 EC EQ EVID S DS E EC EQ EVID S DS E	IN ISDGSGVRYG ISDGSGVRYG IDGSGVREG ISDGSGVRYG ISDGSGVGYG ISDGSGVRYG ISDGSGVGYG ISDGSGVGYG	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
C+ 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein C+ 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein C+ 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein C+ 5. dm1LFY protein C+ 5. dm2LFY protein C+ 5. dm3LFY protein C+ 7. bm4LFY protein C+ 8. bm5LFY protein	130 MIDIKGKRSWRO MIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO WIDIKGKRSWRO	140 1 NKKOKOKO NKOKOKO NKOKOKO NKOKOKO NKKONKO NKKONKOKOK NKKONKOKOK NKKONKOKOK NKKONKOKOK NKKONKOKOK NKKONKOKOK	20 [0 KKMAATDVAG] KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG KKKMAATDVAG 21	170 EC E Q EVID S D S EC E Q EVID S D S E	IN SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYGYG DDGSGVREG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVRYG SDGSGVGYG	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein 0* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein 0* 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein 0* 4. bmil EP protein 0* 5. bm3LFY protein 0* 7. bm4LFY protein 0* 7. bm4LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein	130 WITDKCRRSVTO	14) KKN SINK DK S KKN SINK DK S Z 10 KKN SINK DK S Z 10 KKN SINK DK S Z 10 KKN SINK DK S Z 10 KKN S KKN S KK	0 100 KKMAATDVAG KKMAATDVAG KKMAATDVAG KKMAATDVAG KKMAATDVAG KKKAATDVAG KKKAATDVAG KKKAATDVAG 221 20CREELV0	170 EC E Q EVID S D S EC E Q EVID S D S	INDESEMENTS SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE SDESEVENTE	T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> T <u>EROREHPE</u> TEROREHPE
0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein 0* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein 0* 3. Milum fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein 0* 4. bm1LFY protein 0* 5. bm2LFY protein 0* 7. bm4LFY protein 0* 7. bm4LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein 0* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein	130 VITDKCKRSVTC	140 1 KKK SINKK DKSK KKK SINK DKSK SINK DKSK KKK SINK DKSK SINK DKSK KKK SINK SINK SINK DKSK	0 [0 KKMAATDVAC KKMATDVAC	170 EC EQ EVID & D & E EC EQ EVID & D & E EC E P EVID & D & E EC E P EVID & D & E EC E Q EVID & D & E EC C Q EVID & D & E EC E Q EVID & D & E EC C Q EVID & D & E	IN DES GVR YE SDES GVR YE	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein 0* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein 0* 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein 0* 4. bm1L-Y protein 0* 6. bm2L-Y protein 0* 7. bm4L-Y protein 0* 7. bm4L-Y protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 8. bm5LFY protein 0* 1. Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein 0* 1. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein 0* 2. Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein 0* 3. Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein	130 WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWTC 100 200 TVFFECEVARAN IVFFECEVARAN		0 100 KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ KKMAATUVACJ CKMEATUVACJ COREEUVO COREEUVO		III I DESEAVA I	TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE TEROREH DE
V	130 WITDKCKRSWTC WITDKCKRSWT		0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0) 0	170 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202	8 36 DESIGN FNG 35 DESIGN FNG 35 DESIGN FNG 35 DESIGN FNG 36 DESIGN FNG 36 DESIGN FNG 36 DESIGN FNG 36 DESIGN FNG	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
V	130 WIDDKERSWIC WIDKERSWIC WI		101 0101 102 00 0000 102 00 0000 102 00000 102 0000 102 00000 102 00000 102 000000 100000000 10000000000000	10 2	18 19 Desem Pice 19 Desem Pice 19 Desem Pice 19 Desem Pice 20 Desem Pice	TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein S. bm3LFY protein S. bm3LFY protein B. 7. bm4LFY protein Allium cepa AFR67540.1 Protein Allium cepa Var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Allium cepa var. aggregatum AFR67541.1 Protein Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein S. bm3LFY protein Allium fistulosum AGU12799.1 protein S. bm3LFY protein S. Jom3LFY protein S. Tom4LFY protein S. Tom4LFY protein S. Tom4LFY protein S. S. bm3LFY protein S. S. bm3LFY protein S. S. bm3LFY protein S. S. bm3LFY protein S.	130 VITDKCKRSVTC		00 100 300 100 200 300 100 100 300 100 100 100 300 100 1000 300 100 1000 300 10000000000000000000000000000000000	170 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202 202	8 DESEMP 0 DESE	D TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE TEROREHPE
V	130 WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDKCKRSWRC WIPDCSWRAR WIPSCCWARAR WIPSCCWARAR WIPSCCWARAR		001010 0100000000000000000000000000000	III IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	13 DESEM 14 DES	TROCEPS TROCE TROC

Figure 4. Alignment of the conserved *shLFY* genes from 5 shallot genotypes and 3 accessions of *Allium* plants. The identical amino acid residues were indicated with black background, while 75% conservation was marked as gray.



Figure 5. Phylogenetic of the sequence variation of the predicted amino acid of the *shLFY* gene from 5 shallot genotypes and homologs. The tree was constructed by using a neighbour-joining method of Mega 6 software. The number on the branching axis is the percentage of repetition using the bootstrap method (1000 bootstrap). The scale under the tree (0.06) represents the length of an equivalent branch with an average substitution rate per site.

Table 3. Sequence identity between translated amino acid of shLFY gene fragments
from 5 shallot genotypes with related accessions of <i>Allium</i> in GenBank database.

Accession no.	Accession information	Quiry (%)	Identity (%)	E-value
AFR67540.1	Allium cepa	99	99	0
AFR67541.1	Allium cepa var. aggregatum	99	98	0
AGU127999.1	Allium fistulosum	99	96	0
AGU12800.1	Allium fistulosum	98	96	0
ADR78683.1	Narcissus tazetta var. chinensis	96	72	4e-176
AAS00458.1	Hyacinthus orientalis	95	68	5e-166
BAN62609.1	Tricyrtis hirta	98	64	1e-151
BAN62610.1	Tricyrtis formosana	98	63	4e-151
AHY22450.1	Crysanthemum vestivum	97	59	5e-150
AHY22448.1	Crysanthemum x morifolium	98	59	5e-150

DISCUSSION

DNA isolation is the first step in the study of DNA sequences from genomic DNA populations addressed for analysis of gene structure and polymorphism. DNA isolation techniques vary on each species to produce the desired target DNA. The CTAB buffers that have been used for DNA extraction of the 5 shallot genotypes were able to generate a high quality of whole genome products. A high quality of whole genome isolation products represent with a thick and clear DNA band when visualized using a gel image of electrophoresis. The high molecular weight of the DNA should have clean bands, while the smear band at the bottom indicated the isolate impurity. The smear band indicates the presence of RNA contamination that blocks the migration process. The quality of preference genomic DNA was indicated by the absorbance ratio value (A₂₆₀: A₂₈₀) might be between 1.8-2.0. Lower absorbance values (A₂₆₀: A₂₈₀) indicated poor genomic quality due protein DNA to contamination. Effective and efficient DNA isolation and purification techniques could produce good and less DNA contamination. The quality of genomic DNA is signified by clear band pattern. PCR is a process of enzymatic synthesis to amplify DNA nucleotides outside the living cell, and can increase the number of DNA sequences multiplication in million copies. The specific PCR amplification of gene sequence should show the presence of a single DNA band of the targeted size based on previous information. The PCR process is a cycle process recurrent including denaturation, annealing and extension by DNA polymerase enzymes. A specific oligonucleotide primer pair is used to make a hybrid with the 5-tip 'toward the 3-end' of the target DNA strand and duplicates the targeted sequence in certain length. PCR reactions are very sensitive, using asmall amount of DNA template, and able to multiply very large amounts of DNA (Jonas, 2003).

The *shLFY* gene is successfully cloned from a single plant isolate, that migrated as a single band during agarose gel electrophoresis. Figure 1 shows the results of sequence amplification of *shLFY* genes from 5 shallot genotypes.The sequence

amplification has an open reading frame of 1,161-1,253 bp, and has been registered in GenBank (access number: KY985382, KY985383, and KY985384). The amplified products of shLFY gene consider similar to amplified product of LFY gene in another plants. Yang et al. (2016) reported that amplified product of LFY gene of Allium cepa (AcLFY) contains a open reading 1,119 bp frame. Chaidamsari et al. (2009) obtained product for *LFY* amplified aene forcocoa contains a 1,200 bp, and Hong-Xia *et al*. (2015) obtained amplified product for BrcLFY of 1,260 bp.

Figure 2 shows that the *shLFY* gene of 5 shallot genotypes has exons and introns in a conserved position with the LFY gene of previous reported Allium cepa from reference. Exon3 lengthis shorter than exon1 and exon2. While intron1 is shorter than intron2. The *LFY* gene has a relatively simple structure (Peng et al., 2010) which has 3 exons and 2 introns, with the structure and location of the exact introns domain (Frohlich and Meyerowitz, 1997; Ma et al., 2008). Gene structure of 5 genotypes shallot are consistent with the comparison of the size of introns and exons of LFY gene structure. In the process of gene expression, the intron part will be removed (splicing) from the cDNA before the translation stage. The high sequence identity of *shLFY* gene fragments indicated that the obtained shLFY gene has similar function as the LFY genes of other plant species. The LFY gene function is as an important element in the vegetative and reproductive phase transition process. The LFY gene is a meristem identity gene, which regulates the flowering differentiation of process the inflorescence branch (William et al.,

2004). In *Arabidopsis* plants the flowering process is consistent with upregulation of the *LFY* gene (Blázquez *et al.*, 1997).

Full-length sequence on *shLFY* gene of 5 genotypes shallot revealed that the *shLFY* gene to be more closely related to LFY gene in Allium plant. The results showed that the shLFY gene fragment of 5 shallot genotypes comprised a high sequence identity (99%) with LFY gene from A. cepa, A. cepa var. aggregatum and A. fistulosum, while the seauence identity with A. sativum was 82-89%, as listed in Table 1. This suggested that the *shLFY* gene sequence of 5 shallot genomes has similar structural and functional to LFY genes present in the Allium species. Yang et al. (2016) showed that LFY gene in Allium cepa homologs to shallot (97%), Chinese narcissus (74.9%), MAO point grass (70.8%), and more than 60% highly conserved between different plants. The *LFY* gene is specific transcription factor that plays an important role in the development of plant meristem identity. The LFY gene stimulates the initiation of primordial interest by activating the downstream AUXIN **RESPONSE FACTOR5/ MONOPTEROS** (Yamaguchi et al., 2014). Plants with *LFY* gene activity increased sensitivity response to auxin transport damage.

The evolutionary divergence between shLFY genes of 5 shallot genotypes estimated that there were a total of 1,160 positions in the final dataset. All positions containing gaps and missing data were eliminated. Bima Brebes genotype (bm2LFY) and Tajuk genotype (bm4LFY) are in the same clade with the lowest genetic difference at 0.0044. These genotypes typically are as the induceble flowering of shallot plants. While the Sumenep genotype (bm5LFY)is

closelv to the Ilokos genotype (*bm3LFY*), typically as non-flowering shallot plants. The Bentanis of genotype (*bm1LFY*) considers to have genetic difference to *shLFY* genes of the other genotype. This *shLFY* gene of Bentanis genotype is suggested to have an important control to drive the flowering mode initiation.

shLFY The gene clustering information implied the diversity of shLFY genes in Allium plants and related organism. There is *bm1LFY* (Bentanis genotype) in the first group reffered as naturally flowering pattern. In the second group, there are bm2LFY (Bima Brebes genotype) and *bm4LFY* (Tajuk genotype) reffer as sensitive inducible flowering type. While in the third group are *bm3LFY* genotype) (Ilokos and bm5LFY (Sumenep genotype) reffer as nonsensitive flowering type as shown in Figure 3. ShLFY gene including in the second group may being active due to inducement exogenous such as vernalization treatments. Ream et al. (2014) classified 13 accessions of Brachypodium distachyon into 6 flowering ability groups, ie extremely flowerina, rapid flowering, rapid intermediate rapid flowering, delayed intermediate flowering, delayed flowering, and extremely delayed flowering. Identification of shLFY gene on 5 shallot genotypes shows that flowering pattern in shallot related to LFY gene function. is Homologous flowering genes in 5 genotypes shallot are often clustered on the same group, and their exonintron architectures and key domains are generally conserved. LFY sequence in 5 shallot genotypes revealed the genes polymorphism.

The results of the sequence analysis implied that there are conserved motifs and nonconservation motifs based on shLFY alignment analysis. ShLFY aenes encodes a putative protein of 363 amino acids. Conserved motifs proved that the predicted amino acid of *shLFY* has similar sequences with amino acid of other Allium. The deletions on certain sites along the LFY gene sequence affected the amount of residues differences. amino acid Identified LFY genes have conserved structures and functions (Lu et al., 2011). Domain analysis may play a more important role in prediction of flowerina orthologous proteins in monocot crop species. Yang et al. (2016) showed that AcLFY encodes a putative protein of 372 amino acids, with $\sim 70\%$ homology to the daffodils *LEAFY* and > 50% homology to *LEAFY* proteins from other higher plants. LEAFY protein correlated with controlling regulation of vegetative phase transition process to the reproductive phase of the plant (Weigel et al., 1992; Weigel and Nilsson 1995).

The phylogenetic relationship between the predicted amino acid sequence of *shLFY* gene from 5 shallot genotypes with other LFY-like proteins of other accession deposited on the GenBank database was constructed. All of the sequences from the same taxa are clustered together. The amino acids analysis indicated that *shLFY* genes from 5 shallot genotypes have identical identity with LFY mRNA from Allium cepa (identity 99%), Allium cepa var. aggregatum (98%), and Allium fistulosum (96%). The translated amino acid of shLFY from 5 genotypes of shallot has a closed identity to the mRNA/amino acid from other species, such as Narcissus tazetta var. chinensis and Hyacinthus orientalisthat is exemplified in Table 3. This indicated that there are

significant similarity between *shLFY* gene and the related LFY in other species. It shows that the predicted amino acid of *shLFY* gene also contributes to the high identity with *LFY* gene protein deposited on the GenBank. Obtained predicted amino acid of *shLFY* has a higher protein homology revealed that *LEAFY* genes conserved are hiahlv between different plants. This results consistent to the result from Yang et al. (2016) that further verified a closer genetic relationship between them. In Allium cepa AcLFY has 97% homology with shallot, 74.9% homology with Chinese narcissus, 70.8% homology MAO point grass, and >60% homology with A. thaliana, mango, and walnut (Yang et *al.*, 2016).

Nilsson *et al.* (1998) findings revealed that there is a class of flowering-time genes that affect the activity of the *LFY* gene, and there are other gene classes that greatly affect the transcriptional induction of *LFY* genes. The translated amino acid of the *shLFY* gene from 5 shallot genotypes represented identical to the amino acids mRNA reference in other plants based on open source reference frames (ORF) in European molecular laboratory protein (Pfam) database and were confirmed with BLASTx NCBI software (Figure 4).

The FLORICAULA/LFY protein is included in the SAM protein domain, which consists of various proteins regulated plant development. Protein FLORICAULA/LFY is a floral meristem identity protein. Mutations in this protein sequence affect the development of leaves and flowers. The results of this study indicated that the *shLFY* gene obtained from 5 shallot genotypes is identical with LFY/FLO gene family. ShLFY gene showed a high homology with *LFY* gene from Allium plant and monocotyl plant such as *Tricyrtis formosana*. The results of Weigel et al. (1992) showed that the LFY gene in Arabidopsis is a homolog of the FLORICAULA gene that controls the flowering meristem identity of Antirrhinum majus, and Yang et al. (2016) that AcLFY putative amino acid sequence has typical structure characteristics of the LEAFY (FLO) family, with a proline rich region at the N-terminal, a leucine zipper structure, and a central acid region. Identification of *shLFY* gene diversity from 5 shallot genotypes revealed that shLFY gene correlates to flowering pattern in shallot. The results of shLFY sequence alignment analysis clearly the naturallv identified flowering genotype, sensitive inducing flowering genotypes, and non-sensitive inducing flowering genotypes. The presence of *shLFY* sequences obtained by genomic DNA amplification from 5 shallot genotypes indicates the nucleotide and amino acid diversity between the shLFY genes within 5 shallot genotypes, and also relates organism. Overall, in-silico analysis based on sequence information, and homology modeling of shLFY protein revealed that it has considerable similarity to orthologous LFY proteins in plant species. These data indicates that we successfully isolated and sequenced the shallot LFY homolog. This is the first study to identify and characterize LFY gene in shallot Allium cepa var aggregatum. This molecular identify will be a great resource for the scientific community interested in studying shallot and other members of Allium plant in corresponding to flowering gene competency.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This researchs is supported by grants from National Innovation System Research Insentive 2016, Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Blázquez MA, Soowal LN, Lee I, Weigel D (1997). *LFY* expression and flower initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Develop*. 124: 3835–3844.
- Bomblies K, Wang RL, Ambrose BA, Schmidt RJ, Meeley RB, Doebly J (2003). Duplicate Floricaula / *LFY* homologs zfl1 and zfl2 control inflorescence architecture and flower patterning in maize. *Develop.* 130: 2385–2395.
- Caicedo AL, Stinchcombe JR, Olsen KM, Schmitt J, Purugganan MD (2004). Epistatic interaction between the *Arabidopsis FRI* and *FLC* flowering time genes generates a latitudinal cline in a life history trait. *Proceed. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 101: 15670-15675.
- Chahtane H, Vachon G, Masson ML, Thévenon E, Périgon S, Mihajlovic N, Kalinina A, Michard R, Moyroud E, Monniaux M, Sayou C, Grbic V, Parcy F, Tichtinsky G (2013). A variant of *LFY* reveals its capacity to stimulate meristem development by inducing RAX1. *The Plant J.* 74: 678–689.
- Chaidamsari T, Hayati R, Syarief A. Anwar A, Santoso D (2009). Cloning the LFY gene of cocoa from the active flower bearing tissue. *Menara Perkebunan* 77(2): 79-88 (*in Indonesian languange*).
- Coen ES, Romero JM, Doyle S, Elliott R, Murphy G, Carpenter R (1990). Floricaula: a homeotic gene required for flower development in *Antirrhinum majus*. *Cell* 63: 1311– 1322.

- Doyle JJ, Doyle JL (1987). A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* 19: 11–15.
- Engelhorn J, Moreau F, Fletcher JC, Carles CC (2014). ULTRAPETALA1 and LEAFY pathways function independently in specifying identity and determinacy at the *Arabidopsis* floral meristem. *Ann. Bot.* 114: 1497–1505.
- Frohlich MW, Meyerowitz EM (1997). The search for flower homeotic gene homologs in basal angiosperms and Gnetales: a potential new source of data on the evolutionary origin of flowers. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* S131–S142.
- Frohlich MW, Parker DS (2000). The mostly male theory of flower evolutionary origins: from genes to fossils. *Syst. Bot.* 25: 155–170.
- Hempel FD, Welch DR, Feldman LJ (2000). Floral induction and determination: where is flowering controlled? *Trends in Plant Sci.* 5(1): 17-21.
- Hong-Xia S, Chao F, Li-Hui Y, Lei-Ping H, Xiao-Yong X, Mei-Lan L (2015). Cloning and expression analysis of *LFY* homologue in Pak Choi (*Brassica rapa* subsp. *chinensis*). *Biotechnol. Biotechnolog. Equip.* 29(6): 1035-1042.
- Jeon JS, Lee S, Jung KH, Yang WS, Yi GH, Oh BG, An G (2000). Production of transgenic rice plants showing reduced heading date and plant height by ectopic expression of rice MADS-box genes. *Mol. Breed.* 6: 581–592.
- Jonas NL (2003). PCR: Principles, procedures, and parameters. *In*: Theophilus BDM, Ralpley R (*eds*). Methods in Moleculer Biology: PCR Mutation Detection Protocols. New Jersey: *Humana Press* 37-46.
- Kim MY, Kang YJ, Lee T, Lee S (2014). Circadian clock and photoperiodic flowering genes in adzuki bean (*Vigna angularis* [Willd.] Ohwi & H. Ohashi). *Plant Genet Resour-C* 12(S1): 49–53.

- Kyozuka J, Konishi S, Nemoto K, Izawa T, Shimamoto K (1998). Downregulation of RFL, the FLO/*LFY* homolog of rice, accompanied with panicle branch initiation. *Proceed. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA* 95: 1979– 1982.
- Litt A, Irish VF (2003). Duplication and diversification in the *APETALA1/FRUITFULL* floral homeotic gene lineage: Implications for the evolution of floral development. *Genet*. 165: 821–833.
- Lu LL, Sun GM, Liu YG (2011). Progress of *LFY* homologous gene study. *Acta Bot. Boreali-Occidentalia Sin.* 31(1): 0197-0203.
- Ma YP, Fang XH, Chen F, Dai SL (2008). DFL, a FLORICAULA/*LFY* homologue gene from *Dendranthema lavandulifolium* is expressed both in the vegetative and reproductive tissues. *Plant Cell. Reports* 27: 647–654.
- Mandel MA, Gustafson-Brown C, Savidge B, Yanofsky MF (1992). Molecular characterization of the *Arabidopsis* floral homeotic gene *APETALA1*. *Nature* 360: 273–277.
- Nilsson O, Lee I, Blázquez MA, Weigel D (1998). Flowering-time genes modulate the response to *LEAFY* activity. *Genet.* 150: 403–410.
- O'Maoileidigh DS, Graciet E, Wellmer F (2014). Gene networks controlling *Arabidopsis thaliana* flower development. *New Phytol.* 201: 16–30.
- Parcy F, Nilsson O, Busch MA, Lee I, Weigel D (1998). A genetic framework for floral patterning. *Nature* 395: 561–566.
- Pena (2001). Constitutive expression of *Arabidopsis LFY* or *APETALA1* genes in citrus reduces their generation time. *Nature Biotechnol.*19: 236-267.
- Peng Y, Wei Y, Baum BR, Yan Z, Lan X, Dai S, Zheng Y (2010). Phylogenetic inferences in Avena based on analysis of FL intron2

sequences. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121: 985–1000.

- Putterill J, Laurie R, Macknight R (2004). It's time to flower:the genetic control of flowering time. *Bio-Essays* 26: 363–373.
- Rotem N, Shemesh E, Peretz Y, Akad F, Edelbaum O, Rabinowitch HD, Sela I, Kamenetsky R (2007). Reproductive development and phenotypic differences in garlic are associated with expression and splicing of *LFY* homologue ga*LFY*. *J. Expt. Bot.* 1-9.
- Rudall PJ, Remizowa MV, Prenner G,Prychid CJ, Tuckett RE, Sokoloff DD (2009). Nonflowers near the base of extant angiosperms? Spatiotemporal arrangement of organs in reproductive units of hydatellaceae and its bearing on the origin of the flower. *Am. J. Bot.* 96: 67–82.
- Siriwardana NS, Lamb RS(2012). The poetry of reproduction: the role of *LFY* in *Arabidopsis thaliana* flower formation. *Int. J. Develop. Biol.* 56: 207–221.
- Tamura K, Nei M, Kumar S(2004). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighborjoining method. *Proceed. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, USA 101: 11030-11035.
- Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S (2013). MEGA6:

Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30: 2725-2729.

- Weigel D, Alvarez J, Smyth DR,Yanofsky MF, Meyerowitz EM (1992). *LFY* controls floral meristem identity in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell* 69: 843–859.
- Weigel D, Nilsson O (1995). A developmental switch sufficient for flower initiation in diverse plants. Nature 377: 495–500.
- William DA, Su Y, Smith MR, Lu M, Baldwin DA, Wagner D (2004). Genomic identification of direct target genes of LEAFY. *Proceed. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, USA 101(6): 1775–1780.
- Yamaguchi N, Wu M, Winter CM, Wagner D (2014). *LFY* and polar auxin transport coordinately regulate *arabidopsis* flower development. *Plants* 3: 251-265.
- Yamaguchi N, Wu MF, Winter CM, Berns MC, Nole-Wilson S, Yamaguchi A, Coupland G, Krizek BA, Wagner D (2013). A molecular framework for auxin-mediated initiation of flower primordia. *Develop. Cell* 24: 271– 282.
- Yang C, Ye Y, Song C, Chen D, BJiang B, Wang Y (2016). Cloning and functional identification of the AcLFY gene in *Allium cepa*. *Biochem. Biophy. Res. Commun.* 473: 1100-1105.